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CHAPTER I.

INTRODUCTION

1. During the age of the decline of Egyptian power in Syria, when the great conquests of Tahutmes I. were all gradually lost, a splendid store of information was laid by for us in the cuneiform correspondence at Tell el Amarna. The clay tablets, mostly from Syria, but with a few duplicates of letters from Egypt, were deposited in "The place of the records of the palace of the king," as it is called upon the stamped bricks which I found still remaining there. A few years ago the natives, while plundering about the ruins and carrying off Akhenaten's bricks for their modern houses, lit upon this record chamber containing many hundreds of tablets. These were shown to dealers; they
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sent some to Dr. Oppert, at Paris, who pronounced them to be forgeries; others were sent to M. Grebaut, then head of the Department of Antiquities, and were treated by him with customary silence. At last, when they were supposed to be almost worthless, a quantity were carried in sacks to Luqsor to hawk about among the dealers there, and these were largely ground to pieces on the way. What has been preserved, therefore, is but a wreck of what might have been, had any person equal to the occasion placed his hand upon them in time. The tablets thus reaching the dealers' hands became known, and were bought up mainly for the British Museum and the Berlin Museum. Some drifted to St. Petersburg, Paris, and the Cairo Museums; and some into the private collections of Murch, Rostowicz, and others. A similar miserable fate attends all discoveries in Egypt, unless made by a skilled observer, as witness the palace of Ramessu III. at Tell el Yehudiyeh, the Deir el Bahri treasure, the cemetery of Ekhmim, the palace of Amenhotep III. at Thebes, as well as unnumbered cemeteries and towns throughout the land.

The tablets thus dispersed were published
in many different ways and places; and the first synopsis of the whole was given in the second volume of my *History of Egypt*. But since then a much larger number have been published, with a more critical and definitive text of the whole by Hugo Winckler, in *The Tell el Amarna Letters*. All the summaries of the letters which I made before have been now revised with Winckler's translations, and summaries of all the new ones have been made from those translations. I have retained the original references to the older translations, because Winckler's edition is so extremely bald and deficient in general information, so purely a linguistic exercise and not an effective edition, that the older publications are still of value for details of place, state, docket, &c. But in every case Winckler's number, W. n., is placed against each summary.

2. With regard to transliteration I have departed from the system used by Winckler, not without good reason. The rage for employing out-of-the-way and little-known symbols in place of effective letters which are understood, threatens to place historical and linguistic works as much outside of the ordinary reader's pronunciation as a set of
chemical symbols or differential equations. When almost everything required can be expressed in commonly known terms, there is a pedantry about adopting what only specialists can read. Double letters, if in common use, are no real objection, as in the few cases where sh, th, kh, ts, &c., are really two separate letters, it is easy to put a hyphen between them to separate them. No mistake can thus arise; everyone can read and understand the names, and only the theoretic beauty of one letter, one sign, is interfered with. I accordingly use kh for kheth, th for teth, y for yod, s for samekh, ts for tsade, q for qoph, and sh for shin. When we may come to see Greek names written as Tales, Ahilles, Pilippos, &c., it will be time enough to suppose that double letters are not wanted. In no possible case in any system should the sign j be used instead of y, as for the greater part of mankind it means a soft g, and the y sign cannot be misunderstood.

The summaries of each letter that are given here include every name of person or of place that occurs in the letter, every fact mentioned which can be of value for judging of the positions of persons or the conditions
of affairs, and any presents or objects named. In short nothing is omitted but verbiage and phrases which are of no value for the history or the understanding of the position. The summaries are all that need to be compared and considered for their connections; yet in all important cases the full translations have been referred to here, when working out the history and geography, in order to make certain that no minute details were overlooked.

3. The order of the letters has been determined by dividing them into three main classes: (1) Royal letters and others during the peaceful times of Amenhotep III., and early in Amenhotep IV.; (2) the North Syrian war; (3) the South Syrian or Palestine war.

In the first class the letters of different places have no chronological interlocking, and therefore each kingdom and person is taken separately.

In the second class the main backbone of the sequence is in the history of Ribaddi; and in the third series the history of Abd-khiba (or Ebed-tob as formerly rendered) is the main sequence.

The first step was to place the letters of
each of these personal histories in the order of time, judging from the waning power of these allies of Egypt. The towns which they successively lost, and the difficulties in which they were, serve to fit the letters very closely together one after the other. The frequent changes of residence of Ribaddi have particularly to be noticed, as otherwise all the letters from one residence of his might be supposed to be earlier or later than those from another residence. No change of residence has been assumed here, except what is clearly required by the sequence of events that are named. In particular we may note the phrase, "May Baalat of Gubla give power to my lord the king." Such an invocation of the local deity might be supposed to show that the letter was written from Gubla. After settling the order and the locality of each letter of Ribaddi, as far as is shown by the evidence of events, then the invocations of the goddess of Gubla were tabulated. In most cases such letters appeared to have been written from Gubla. The results appear thus, the letters naming "Baalat of Gubla" or "Gubla your handmaid" are thirty-two in all; of these, from the events, I classed:—
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22 written in Gubla.
3 "", "", Berut.
7 early uncertain ones.

32 naming Gubla.

Of letters not naming Gubla in a gratuitous manner, there are twenty-five in all; of these, from the events, I classed:

11 written in Gubla.
10 "", "", Berut.
2 "", "", Tsumura.
2 early uncertain ones.

25 not naming Gubla.

Here, then, the test of the gratuitous naming of Gubla is almost restricted to letters written from Gubla, and it might seem, therefore, that in three cases I had made a mistake, in attributing three of this class to Berut; but in one of these three we have the positive proof that an invocation of Baalat of Gebal might be written elsewhere, as Ribaddi says (No. 211, Winckler 62), "And behold at this time I am in Berut." Hence I see no reason to change to Gubla the venue of the other two letters.
The above test, therefore, is not conclusive; but it is interesting as showing that of the letters naming Gubla my arrangement only gives one in eight to other places; while of those not naming Gubla my arrangement gives more than half to other places. This is a perfectly unbiassed test, as it was not visible in the summaries which I arranged, and was never looked at in the letters until all the present arrangement was done.

4. Another matter to be noted is the change of allegiance of persons and places. Such change is always shown in the indices of persons and of places by a star; the references before the star (if any) being friendly to Egypt, the references after the star (if any) being hostile to Egypt. In many cases, however, there is a dubious period in which there are conflicting statements from different parties; in such cases the references that are dubious are placed between two stars. Such dubious references arise from several causes. Sometimes a man was misrepresented by his enemies in order to prejudice him in the opinion of the Egyptians. Sometimes an enemy of the Egyptians continued to claim to be on their
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Syria into a state of internal discord unrepressed. The immediate effect of this was that various parties, without caring particularly about being for or against the Egyptians, began to fight with one another. Each tried to draw the power of Egypt to his own side by representing that he was loyally acting in the interest of his suzerain; and the weaker party was sure to place his trust most fully upon Egypt. It was only when a man had played his own hand for a long time, had strengthened himself by absorbing much of his neighbour’s goods and lands, and had safely neglected the orders of the Egyptians on several occasions—it was only then that he cared to throw off the mask and act openly in his own interest, and allow himself to be classed as an enemy. Hence we often find very different views of people, and might put them as being on the Egyptian side according to their own account long after they were on the enemies’ side according to other accounts.

5. A very important consideration which has not been worked out hitherto lies in the few chronological details which can be gathered. It is obvious that excepting a
small proportion of peaceful letters under Amenhotep III., all the rest of these record the downfall of Egyptian power under Akhenaten. It is possible to glean some indications, however, of a more exact dating, and to allot the main outlines to their approximate years.

In the Mitanni letters up to No. 8 they are to Amenhotep III., No. 9 is to the IVth.

In the Kardunyash letters up to No. 17 they are to Amenhotep III., No. 18 is to the IVth.

In the letter from an unknown king to the kings of Kinakhi (No. 40) condolences on the death of a king, evidently Amenhotep III., are named. In letter 123 is a reference to the 3rd year, which cannot be any but the year of Amenhotep IVth, and must have been written later, as his father did not die till the 5th year of his son's reckoning. At this time caravans seem to have been freely passing.

Two important letters (111, 112), of Akizzi of Qatna, near Damascus, addressed to Amenhotep III., show that serious troubles were in progress before his death, probably in the last year or two, the 4th
and 5th year of Amenhotep IVth's joint reign. The political prospect then was that Nukhashi, Ni, Zinzar, and Kinanat (or the Upper Orontes) were still faithful, but in danger. The Damascus plain was seriously threatened. The Lower Orontes, or Amurra, was already in revolt under Azira, and the Khatti were hostile.

From this point we may approximately start the reckoning of Azira's wars and attacks on Ribaddi, say in the 5th year of Amenhotep IV., the epoch of letter 140, when Ribaddi first asks for troops to defend himself from Abdashirta and the Khabiri.

In letter 174 we read that Ribaddi had fought for five years, bringing this point to about the 10th year of Akhenaten.

Then in letter 186 Ribaddi's son had been sent from Berut to see the king, but had not succeeded in doing so for three months. About a year must be allowed to this point, or the 11th year.

In letter 204 Ribaddi complains that Gubla has been besieged for three years, causing famine, owing to the cutting off of the arable land. As the complaints of the trouble of Gubla begin in letter 187, this three years will date from about then, so
that letter 204 will be at least as late as the 14th year.

In the tomb of Huya, No. 1, at Tell el Amarna, there are prisoners of the Kharu; and this tomb shows only two figures of princesses which are, therefore, about the 7th–8th year, and contains a date of the 12th year. The carvings occupied some time therefore, and the prisoners may well refer to some successes which Ribaddi seems to have had about the 12th year, when in letter 192 he anticipates being able to drive out Azira. We therefore have approximate dates of

B.C. about.

Letter 111, 112—4th, 5th year Akhenaten

(end of Amenhotep III.) . . 1379

123, referring to 3rd year, but after

4th year . . . . 1379

140, 5th year (?) of Akhenaten . 1378

174, 10th year (?) . . . . 1373

186, 11th year (?) . . . . 1372

193, 12th year (?) . . . . 1371

204, 14th year (?) . . . . 1369

225, 17th year (?) end of Ri-

baddi . . . . 1366

6. The questions of the positions of the various places named in these letters require to be systematically treated and not dealt
with by a hap-hazard system of verbal coincidence.

That such has been far too much the case can be seen in one well-known instance. The king of Megiddo had three country palaces, or storehouses, which were plundered by the Egyptians immediately after the fall of Megiddo, in the 23rd year of Tahutmes III. (History ii. 110); they were named Yenuamu, Anaugasa, and Harnekaru. From the history it is plain that they were within the kingdom ruled from Megiddo, and not far away therefore, and that they were not on the Egyptian side of Megiddo. Yet, in face of this, the astounding identification of the kingdom of Nukhashi, far in the north, for the store city of Anaugasa, and the city of Rhinocolura, far to the south, for the store city of Harnekaru, have been gravely upheld in defiance of all geography. When we look, on the reasonable grounds of apparent position, to the region a little north of Megiddo, we there see Yanuh, or Yenu of the Amu up one valley, and Nakura (with Ras en Nakura, the hill or Har-nakura by it) up another valley, and possibly even the third name Anaugasa surviving in an intermediate
valley where a ruin is called Medinet en Nehas.

Though the positions must thus be the first consideration in fixing places, yet we must not go to the opposite extreme and say that names cannot be trusted, and that nothing can be certain. When we see the names of Akka, Askaluna, Biruta, Danuna, Gazri, Lakish, Qideshu, Timasgi, Tsiduna, Tsurri, Tsumura, Tunip, Urushalim, Yapu, and Zinzar, all lasting with no change—or only a small variation in vowels—down to the present day, when nearly all the most important places might be named in cuneiform to the modern peasant, it needs no further proof that ancient names may be safely sought for in the modern map. Altogether, out of about 150 names of places in these letters, about 100 can be fixed with more or less certainty, and many of the others might probably be found if there were indications of the district in which to seek them.

The practical method followed in preparing the lists of places, and the map, has been to extract from the letters every mention of a place, with all other places which are mentioned with it, then by means of the other names to delimit what may be the
possible ground for the unknown name. Next, considering the usual mutations between the transcription of cuneiform and the modern Arabic forms, to frame the shape in which it would be most likely to occur; and then to search the maps by reading over every name within the possible limits.

In dealing with names there are several sources of divergence between the cuneiform transcription and modern Arabic. The name may have been variable in form anciently, as some names now are; it may have been changed in being transcribed into cuneiform; it may have changed during over three thousand years and many conquests; or it may have been changed on being transcribed into Arabic. Thus there are so many possibilities of change, which actually occur in many cases, that we may wonder at the principal places having preserved their names so closely as they have done. In identifying names another consideration is in the descriptive nature of many of them. In the present list we meet with 'Amq, the valley or deep place; Ginti, the gardens; Kirmil, the vineyard; Gubla or Gebal, the rock or mountain; Kharabu, the ruin; Magdali, a tower; Rubuta, a
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Dynasty had a fighting frontier as near home as in Sinai; the Vth Dynasty carried the war further east among the Sati, and besieged and took fortified cities of theirs, as shown at Deshasheh. The XIIth Dynasty fought the Sati, as named by Amenemhat I., and figured on the pectorals of Usertesen III. and Amenemhat III. The independence of all Southern Syria is evident from the history of Sanehat, where it does not appear that the Egyptians had an occupation beyond the Isthmus of Suez.

It was not until the rolling back of the tide of the conquering Syrians, in the expulsion of the Hyksos, that the Egyptians took any permanent hold outside of their own land. Aahmes, in the first rush of conquest, reached as far as Zahi, or the middle of the Syrian coast. Amenhotep I. seems to have done nothing in Syria, occupied probably in the consolidation and prosperity of Egypt. Tahutmes I. conquered the whole length of Syria as far as the Euphrates, having set up his tablet of victory at Naharaina or northern Mesopotamia. Tahutmes III. did as much, but no more. Amenhotep II. seems to have lost ground, as he found in his young days an enemy to repulse at Harosheth, on the
Kishon, just beyond Carmel. Tahutmes IV. fought the Khita, who must at that time have been far in the north, up to Cilicia, and hence he seems to have occupied the whole of Syria.

Of Amenhotep III.—the first king of this correspondence—there are, unfortunately, very few records of his conquests or power. For the names of the subject peoples of Syria we are dependent on the decorative figures of captives around the columns of the temple at Soleb in Ethiopia. There are three series of them, each series double; part facing one way and part the other. The most probable order to read them is from the pair face to face, reading first those which face to right and then those which face to left. The series then appear thus (see *Leps. Denk.* iii. 88):

**First Series.**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sengar</th>
<th>Singara, W. of Nineveh.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Naharain</td>
<td>Upper Euphrates, both sides.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Khita</td>
<td>N. end of Syria, Comagene.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kedesh</td>
<td>By Lake Homs?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tanepu</td>
<td>Tunip, Tennib.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(lost)</td>
<td>?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(lost)</td>
<td>?</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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Akarita . Ugarit, mouth of Orontes.
Kefa . N. Phœnicia.

SECOND SERIES.
Karkamish Karkhamish.
Asur Assyria.
Apththena Aphadana, 35° 30', E. of Chaboras.
    or Aphphadana, 34° 35', E. of Euphrates.
Makuuatsh Maguda, 35° 40', E. of Euphrates.
Mehpeni, or Shedpeni ?

THIRD SERIES.
Kedina Katanii, E. of Palmyra.
Aaro, or Atiro ? Atera, 45' S, 20' W., of Palmyra.
Punt Punda, about 31°, W. of Euphrates.
Sha . u Shasu? Bedawin.
Thyta . . .
Arerpaka Arrapakhtis, N.E. of Nineveh.

In the first series there is the extreme north of Syria, and then the north-central and round to northern coast. For the place of Ugarit see the discussion farther on. In the second series we begin on the Upper Euphrates and go down the valley. In the third series there is the Palmyra desert,
and then the Lower Euphrates and up to Nineveh. The name Aaro is unlikely, and probably one of the a birds is really ti, as these are often confounded: it would then read Atiro, and so may well be Atera near Palmyra. The name Punt occurring here with a strongly-marked Syrian figure, and next to the Shasu, was a stumbling-block, until the possibility was noticed of its being really eastern, in accord with the figure and position, and representing Punda on the Lower Euphrates. This name of Ptolemy is variable, reading also Gunda and Spunda. The Shasu are well known as Bedawin in general. Thyta is the next name, not identified with any place; it seems barely possible that it was the land of Queen Thy, named after her by the Egyptians, and that it would read “Thy's land.” Lastly, Arerpaka is Arapkha of the Assyrians, Arrapakhtis of the Greeks, a district just north of Nineveh.

These lists show that Amenhotep III., either by conquest or by treaty, had extended even the empire which had been acquired by Tahutmes I. and III. The whole Euphrates appears to be subject to him, down to 31° N., the Tigris up to Assyria and Arra-
pakhitis, and the Palmyra desert between Syria and Mesopotamia. This shows apparently the greatest extent of the Egyptian power ever known; in classical terms it comprised the whole of Syria, Mesopotamia, Chaldea, and Assyria, stopping only at the frontiers of Susiana, Media, and Armenia.

It is now the breaking up of all this power that we have detailed before us in the letters from Tell el Amarna. Much had been already lost in the later years of Amenhotep III.; in letters 111, 112 the governor of Qatna near Damascus states that Azira, the centre of North Syrian independence, was in revolt, the Khatti were at war, and places round Damascus were rebelling. Yet up to the close of the reign of the third Amenhotep and beginning of the fourth, the letters passed regularly to and from Babylonia and northern Mesopotamia, so that no serious break of communications had taken place. The serious overthrow belongs to the reign of Akhenaten, when, having openly broken with all the traditions of his Amenhotep youth, he threw all his energies into domestic reform, and abandoned foreign politics with disastrous results. It was not till a generation later, under Horemheb, that
the Egyptians again obtained a hold on Syria. Even the boasted conquests of Sety I. and Ramessu II. did not more than half recover the length of Syria; while they did not attempt alliances with Mesopotamia and Babylonia, but were content to meekly make treaties on equal terms with the Khita, who had absorbed the greater part of Syria, and who had laid out that kingdom which was so familiar to the Israelites.

In later times the warlike Merenptah, Ramessu III. and Sheshenq I., claimed authority again over Palestine, but they did not venture to go beyond the Ramesside territory. In the XXVIth Dynasty Nekau (Necho) struck boldly through Syria to the Euphrates, only to be defeated at Carchemish; and the Ptolemies, though holding Cyprus and much of Phœnicia, yet did not succeed in acquiring the Seleucic kingdom of Antioch. At no period, therefore, can we place the power of Egypt higher than it was under Amenhotep III., lord of the two great cradles of civilization, the narrow valley of Nile, and the plains and highlands of Mesopotamia.

Abdashirta of Amurra &c. (Orontes valley).
Abdkhiba of Jerusalem.
Abimilki of Tyre.
Addumikhir of Gaza.
Akizzi of Qatana.
Amanappa, commissioner.
Amunira of Beyrut.
Artamaniya of Bashan.
{ Arzauni of Rukhizi.
{ Arzawiya of Mikhizi.
   Assur-nadinakhi } kings of Assyria.
   Assur-uballidh
Aziri of Amurra, &c.
Bikhura, commissioner in Kamid.
Biridya of Megiddo.
Burnaburyash of Babylonia.
Dushratta of Mitani.
Itakama of Kinza and Qedesh.
Kallimasin of Babylonia.
Khaib, commissioner of Simyra.
Khatib, commissioner.
Lapaya in S. Palestine.
Milkili in S. Palestine.
Namiwiza of Kamid.
Ninur of Seffin.
Pakhura (see Bikhura).
Puaddi of Yerza.
Raman-nirari of Nukhashi.
Rabimur } of Gubla, &c.
Ribaddi }
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CHAPTER II.

LETTERS OF THE PEACE

10. Kings of the Khatti.

An early letter to Amenhotep III. cannot be regularly translated, as it is in an unknown language, but a few names can be transliterated and may lead to some results. From the personal name it would seem that the sender was a Hittite king, the word Tarkhun being well known in the name of the king Tarqu-dimme of the silver boss, the same name as the king Tarkondemos of Greek times. From the letter can be gleaned the following:—

(1) TARKHUNDARAUSH to NIMUTRIYA. (Amenhotep III.) T. sends Irshappa for a daughter of N.; and sends a shuka of gold, and will send a chariot, &c. Prince of the Khatti on the mountains of Igaid sends a shuka weighing 20 manehs, 3 kak of ivory, 3 kak of pirkar, 3 kak of khuzzi, 8 kak of kusittiu, 100 kak of lead, 5 kukupu stones, 10 thrones of usu wood, 2 usu trees. (S.B.A. xi. 336.)
The throne name of Amenhotep III., which we render as Neb-maat-ra, was variously vocalized by the cuneiform scribes as Nimmuria, Nammuria, Nimutriya, or Mimmuria; these variations show how little exactness can be expected in cuneiform versions of names.

The reference to the mountain of Igaid is a clue, as that is apparently the Ygatiy of the Travels of the Mohar of Ramesside times; and from the position of the name there it seems to have been about the Lebanon, perhaps connected with Ain Yakut on the old pass over the Lebanon, between Damascus and Beyrut. So this prince of the Khatti ruled on the Lebanon in the time of Amenhotep III., showing how the Hittites had already begun to occupy Syria. The Hittite writer of the letter seems to have been entering on the family alliances with the Egyptians, which were carried on till Ramessu II. Another letter appears to be also from the Hittites, showing that they were tributaries, or, at least, on good terms.

(2) ZIDA . . . to king of Egypt. When messengers went to the Khatti, Z. alone sent
presents; and now he sends 16 boys, and asks for gold in return.

(Winckler 36; S.B.A. xiii. 132.)

Another letter has been supposed to be from a king of the Khatti, but Winckler does not accept this from the fragment of the name, . . . ti.

(3) King of (KHA)TTI to KHURI (short for Nipkhuriya, Amenhotep IV.). Asks for an alliance, as between their fathers. Sends a bibru of silver, 5 minas, another 3 minas, 2 gaggaru of silver, 10 minas, and 2 great nikibtu. (W. 35; S.B.A. xiii. 549.)

11. Dushratta of Mitani.

The principal series of letters which show family relationships between the Egyptian kings and those of Syria are those sent by Dushratta, king of Mitani, to Amenhotep III. and IV. The earliest of these refers to the accession of Dushratta, and to his troubles from the invasion of the Khatti. The land of Mitani, in the north of Mesopotamia, bordering on the Euphrates, was close to the Hittite country, and would be naturally in the way of their attacks.
(4) DUSHRATTA to NIPMUARIA (Amenhotep III.). D. greets Gilukhipa his sister. Soon after his accession Pirkhi attacked his land and his people; but D. repulsed him, and slew the murderers of D.'s brother Artash-shumara, whom P. supported. D. notifies N. of this, as N. was a friend of D.'s father, who gave him D.'s sister. The Khatti came into D.'s land, but D.'s god, Tishub, gave them into his hand. D. sends a chariot, 2 horses, a lad, and a girl, of the booty of the Khatti. Also 5 chariots and pairs of horses. Also to D.'s sister Gilukhipa a pair of breast ornaments of gold, a pair of earrings of gold, a mash-hu of gold, and a jar of oil. D. sends Gilia a messenger and Tunip-ipri. Let N. return them soon.

(W. 16; S.B.A. xv. 120.)

(5) DUSHRATTA to NIMMURIYA. N. sent Mani to ask for daughter of D. to be mistress of Egypt. Giliya, D.'s messenger, reported words of N. which rejoiced D. And D. asks much gold, as N. sent to his father Shutarna a dish, cup, and brick of solid gold. D. sends Giliya, and a present of a gold goblet set with lazuli; a necklace of 20 lazuli beads and 19 of gold, in middle lazuli cased in gold; a necklace of 42 khulalu stones, and 40 gold beads; and an amulet of khulalu stone in gold, 10 pairs of horses, 10 chariots of wood, and 30 women.

(W. 17; R.P. xv. 84.)
Here Dushratta is sending grand presents, besides being willing to give up a daughter to Egypt. This points to his being a tributary, and not entirely independent. Amenhotep III. sends an envoy to negotiate for a princess to be the "mistress of Egypt," and this was not for himself, but for his son, as the later letters show. To Dushratta's letter above, Amenhotep replied by accepting the present, and sending again to fetch the princess. His request is acknowledged in the next letter, while the princess was preparing for the journey.

(6) DUSHRATTA to NIMMURIYA. Mani, A.'s messenger, has come to fetch a wife from D. to be the mistress of Egypt. Land of Khani-galbat, and land of Egypt will live in peace. . . After 6 months Giliya and Mani were now sent with the queen. Kharamashi sent by D. with letter. D. asks for much gold; has sent an ushu stone, an isissu of Aleppo stone, and a khulal stone set in gold.

(W. 18; R.P. xv. 74.)

In the next letter Dushratta calls himself father-in-law to Amenhotep III., referring to some previous marriage, and not to the one just negotiated, as this last was of
Amenhotep IV., as shown by letters 9, 10, 11. Two other letters to Amenhotep IV. refer to the family relationships.

(7) DUSHRATTA to NIMMURIYA. D. is father-in-law to N. May Istar bless N. Mani the messenger, and Khani, dragoman of N., have brought presents; D. sends lazuli and some gold.

(W. 19; R.P. xv. 73.)

(8) DUSHRATTA to NIMMURIYA. D. greets Tadukhipa his daughter and Nimmuriya his son-in-law. Sends statue of Istar of Nina to be honoured by N. and returned.

(W. 20; S.B.A. xv. 124.)

On the back of this is an hieratic docket, apparently in the 36th year of Amenhotep III., month of Pharmuthi. This is the very latest date in the reign, and would be two or three weeks later than the papyrus of Kahun dated under Amenhotep IV. Possibly in the last illness of Amenhotep III. the dating under his co-regent son came into use irregularly, and in any case official correspondence of the palace would receive the date of the king to whom it was addressed. By its date this must be the last letter of this reign.

After the death of Amenhotep III.,
Amenhotep IV. was but a youth of about 18 years old; and hence Dushratta hesitated at addressing confidential diplomacy to him, and wrote in the first place to Queen Tyi. As we already know, from a quarry inscription at Tell el Amarna, this queen was for a short time apparently sole regent. Tyi appears from the following letter to have acted as regent independently of her son, and to have taken the position of sending out the diplomatic messengers to keep up the relation with foreign states.

(9) **DUSHRATTA** to **TEIE.** D. greets Teie her son, and Tadukhipa his bride. Appeals to friendship with Mimmuria (Amenhotep III.), and Teie knows all the negotiations better than the messengers Gilia and Mani. Asks that certain gold statues be sent, along with presents to Yuni, D.'s wife.

*(W. 22; S.B.A. xv. 127.)*

Gilia was the messenger of Dushratta, and was sent back with the news of the death of Amenhotep III., and a request for continuance of friendship. Hence he must have arrived just about the time of the king's death, and was therefore the bearer of letter 8, which came just at that time. This letter 9 is Dushratta's next after No. 8.
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phrase, therefore, of "wives and sons" is merely a conventional presumption.

The next letter is the longest of the whole series, about 190 lines in all, filling over eight octavo pages even in its defective condition. It begins with the same relationships as the previous letter, therefore omitted here.

(II) DUSHRATTA to NAPKHURIA. From D.'s youth Nimmuria wrote to him, and Teie knows all that passed. When Nim-
muria's father (Tahutmes IV.) sent to Artatama, D.'s grandfather, for his daughter, she was only granted at the 7th application. Nimmuria sent to Shutarna, D.'s father, for D.'s sister, who was only granted at the 6th application. Nimmuria sent to D. for D.'s daughter, and D. sent her, with an exchange of presents, by Khamashi (Kha-em-uas), N.'s messenger. And Nimmuria rejoiced in Tadukhipa. Gilia was D.'s agent in exchanges, and Nizag was N.'s agent. Nimmuria promised D. to make gold of Egypt plentiful in Khanigalbat; so D. asks for golden images. D. describes his grief at Nimmuria's death. Mani was Nim-
muria's messenger. D. sent Perizzi and Bubri to condole. D.'s present messenger is Mazipalali, uncle of Gilia. D. has imprisoned Artishub and Asali who attacked
Egyptian territory. D. sends presents for Teie and for Tadukhipa.

(W. 21; R.P. xv. 79.)

This letter is very important as showing the long-standing relationships between the Mitanian kings and the Egyptian: it gives also the names of Dushratta's father, Shutarna, and grandfather, Artatama. The difference should be noted, that these two earlier kings only yielded a daughter to the Egyptian king after many applications, whereas Dushratta says that he yielded at one bargain. This agrees well to Amenhotep III. having much extended the frontiers of Egypt; in earlier reigns the Euphrates was the boundary, and frontier neighbours, such as Mitani, were independent, but after Amenhotep III. had absorbed all Mesopotamia and Assyria, the king of Mitani was his vassal and had to agree with all decent dignity to his proposals.

The last letter of this series touches on much the same lines, so that we must regard all these three letters as having been written within a short time. Thus this correspondence with Mitani must have been cut off by Aziru's attacks which began in the north.
within the first year or two of the reign of Amenhotep IV.

(12) DUSHRATTA to NAPKHURI. Mani, N.'s messenger, has come. N. desired that as D. had been friends with his father, Mimmuria, so D. should be with N. D. will be ten times more so. M. sent Mani to D. with the price for a wife. M. promised golden images, lazuli, and instruments and much gold, but N. has not sent them. Khamashi took message from N. Names Gilia and Perizzi. (W. 23; S.B.A. xiii. 556.)

Both Mani and Khamassi were employed by Amenhotep III. as messengers. It is clear that these letters were written with a full appreciation of business. The marriages are always connected with large gifts; presents are always sent, or expected, through the messengers, and the kings of Mitani were quite familiar with all the oriental ways of politely screwing a present or a promise out of their friends. We can hardly doubt that the Egyptian letters were of the same kind, but we have only one copy of a letter to royalty, and a few letters to inferiors.
12. *Kallimasin of Babylonia.*

Beside the alliance with Mitani, the north-western end of Mesopotamia nearest to Asia Minor, there was a similar alliance with Southern Mesopotamia or Babylonia, then known as Karduniyash. The successive kings of this region were Karaindash, Kallimasin, Kurigalzu, and Burnaburyash, who is the best known.

(13) NIBMUARIA to KALLIMA-SIN, king of Karduniyash. N. (Amenhotep III.) had asked for a princess from K. But K. complained that his sister, who had been given to N. by K.'s father, had not been seen again. N. complains that K. never sent a messenger who could recognize his sister. Riqa, the messenger of Zaqara, was sent. K. complained that his messengers could not tell if the queen shown to them was a daughter of a beggar, or of Gagaia, or Khanigalbat, or Ugarit. But if K. doubts in this way, does he demand likewise tokens from all the other kings to whom his daughters are married? And N. is angry that K.'s messengers complain about not receiving gifts, when they always have presents given to them. N. asks that the messengers be not believed, as they plot treachery. K. said that N. had put his
chariots among those of the vassals, so that K.'s agent could not find them. But both agent and chariots belong to K., and N. has no horses to spare. K. employs Riqa to write. (W. 1 ; S.B.A. xv. 26.)

The last sentence seems to mean that N. had no need of K.'s chariots, and his agent might as well take possession of them; and if K. was so particular N. had no horses to give in exchange. It is evident that the distance made communication troublesome, and both parties became too particular about being satisfied, so that there was a good deal of ill-feeling. But the representations and assurances of Amenhotep III. seem to have satisfied Kallimasin, for he promised to send a daughter.

(KALLIMASIN to NIMMUWARIA. K.'s daughter, whom N. asked for, is grown up and will be sent. N.'s father used to return a messenger quickly, but N. has kept the messenger for six years, and then only sent 30 minas of gold like silver, tested before Kasi, N.'s messenger. K. sends 35 male slaves and 15 female as a present. (W. 2 ; S.B.A. xiii. 130.)

The large present of slaves sent, after such a small return from Egypt, seems as if
Babylonia was practically tributary to Amenhotep III., and so agrees to his representing places in that region as his subjects. Yet the king could ask plainly for return presents, as in the next letter, which is apparently from him, though the names are lost.

(15) x to y. x refers to a former request and refusal of a daughter of the Egyptian king for any foreign prince. x then said he would take any beautiful Egyptian, and who should say, "She is not a king's daughter"? x asks for gold, either in Tammuz or Ab, and he will send his daughter to y; he wants the gold to finish work then, and if not sent by that time he will not accept even 3000 talents of gold. (W. 3; S.B.A. xiii. 128.)

Another letter refers to a sending of an Egyptian princess, and the presents sent to Egypt in return.

(16) KALLIMASIN to NIBMUARIA. K. sends necessaries for N.'s house to return by the messenger Shuti who brings N.'s daughter, even a couch of ushu wood, ivory, and gold, three couches and six thrones of ushu and gold, an object of 7 minas of silver, and 9 shekels of gold, and other furniture.

(W. 5; B.O.D. 4.)
And a fragment of a letter has some references to marriages and presents.

(17) KALIMMASIN to NIMUWARIA. Greetings, and mentions “my daughters,” and a few words relating to presents. (W. 4.)


The death of Kallimasin seems to have occurred very closely at the same time as that of Amenhotep III., as none of his letters are addressed to the IVth; and none of the letters of Burraburiash, his successor, are addressed to the IIIrd. One of the first letters, apparently, is a short one mainly desiring continued alliance.

(18) BURRABURIASH to NAPKHURURIA. Let N. write and ask for what he wants and B. will send it. (W. 6.)

Next we see an active interchange going on between the kings.

(19) BURRABURIASH to NAPKHURARIA. From the times of Karaindash, since N.’s father’s messengers came to B.’s father, they have been friendly. N.’s messengers have thrice come without presents. When 20 minas of gold were sent it was short weight and when smelted did not amount to 5 minas.
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sent: 3 minas of lazuli, 5 pair of horses, and 5 wooden chariots.

(W. 7; S.B.A. xiii. 540; R.P. xv. 63.)

In another letter the temple work again comes in as a claim for gold, apparently less urgently, and therefore later.

(21) BURNABURIASH to NAPKHURURIA. N.’s father complained, and B. sent Khuaa, and wrote promising another daughter; and N. has sent Khamashshi . . . . and Mikhuni. . . . B. will send daughter with 5 chariots, but fears king will scoff, as his father sent B.’s sister with 3000 men. Asks for Tsalma as commissioner. Khay who was sent had no chariot or people with him. Send many people, and Khay will bring B.’s daughter. N.’s father sent much gold to Kurigalzu. B. sends lazuli; and to queen only 20 stones of lazuli, as she neglected him. Asks for more gold to finish his temple.

(W. 9.)

Another letter from the same king seems to be the latest during the peace, as there has been an illness of the king. There is no mention of the temple affairs which he took over from his predecessor, and the caravans are beginning to be plundered.
LETTERS OF THE PEACE

(22) BURRABURIASH to NAPKHURURURIA. B. unwell, and annoyed at N. not condoling. Messenger explains distance to account for N.’s silence. Sends 4 minas blue stone and 5 pair of horses. Asks for much gold, last was base, because N. did not see to it himself, and much loss in melting. Caravan of Tsalmu B.’s messenger twice plundered —1. By Biriamaza; 2. By Pamakhu, in N.’s land—so asks for compensation to Tsalmu.

(W. 10.)

The last letter of all from Karduniash will be found later on, No. 124, as it belongs to the beginning of the northern wars, and throws light on the persons involved in those troubles. This correspondence was not limited entirely to official business, but the princesses took advantage of the messengers to exchange affectionate greetings with their families; probably many such went, though, as they would not be likely to be kept in official archives, only three have come down to us.

(23) “Daughter of the king, to my lord . . . may the gods of Burraburiash go with you. Go out in peace, and in peace return to your house.” Sent by Kidin-Ramman.

(W. 13.)
(24) To . . . . my mistress . . . . khipa your handmaid. At the feet of my mistress I fall. Health to my mistress . . .  

(W. 292.)

(25) To . . . . my mistress, your daughter, your handmaid. At the feet of my mistress seven times and seven times I fall. . . .  

(W. 293.)


A third series of royal letters are those from Alashia, which by a docket in hieratic upon one of them is identified with the Egyptian Alasa. It has been usually supposed that this was in the north of Syria, but Winckler's identification of it with Cyprus seems much more likely. Every letter refers to sending quantities of copper to Egypt, copper being commonly worked in Cyprus, but not on the mainland; silver is asked for in return, whereas silver was common among North Syrian Hittites; the ships of Alashia go to Egypt, whereas none of the Syrian ships are named there; all of these details point to Cyprus. And also Alashia was on the route followed from Gubla to Egypt, see letter 161.

(26) King of ALASHIA to king of Egypt. Congratulates him on his accession, sends.
200 talents of copper, desires messenger back quickly, and a yearly embassy each way. (W. 30.)

(27) King of ALASHIA to king of Egypt. E. had enquired why a messenger had not come. A. did not know that E. was celebrating a sacrificial feast. A. now sends a messenger with 100 talents of copper. Asks for a couch of ushu wood gilded, a gilded chariot, two horses, 42 garments, 50 . . . garments, 24 logs of ushu wood, 17 boxes of good oil. . . . Proposes a bond of alliance, and interchange of messengers. Sends a flask of good oil to pour on E.'s head "now that you have ascended the throne of your kingdom." (W. 27; B.O.D. 6.)

(28) x to y, sends ivory, 5 talents of copper, 3 talents of good copper, and ushu wood, and desires that the customs officers shall not interfere with the men sent in the ship.

(W. 33; S.B.A. xi. 340.)

(29) King of ALASHIA to king of Egypt. Asks for return of messengers quickly, and says they are his merchants, and desires that the customs officer shall not interfere with them or their ship.

(W. 29; S.B.A. xi. 334; xiii. 547.)

This bears a docket in hieratic "Letter from Alasa." These letters show the marine
trade that was being carried on from Alashia.

(30) King of ALASHIA to king of Egypt. Sends 5 talents of copper and 5 pair of horses. Asks for silver. Desires messenger back soon, and mentions Pashtummi, Kunia, Itilluna, Ushbarra, and Bil-ram. (W. 26.)

(31) King of ALASHIA to king of Egypt. Protests that the king of Egypt is mistaken in supposing the Alashians to be allied with the Lukki; on the contrary the Lukki yearly plunder the city of Zikhra in Alashia. If Alashians are proved to have plundered they shall be punished. (W. 28; S.B.A. xv. 130.)

This letter is important as showing that the Lykian pirates, so well known in later times, were already at their trade in 1500 B.C., and this gives more light on the early Mediterranean shipping, as certainly the rocky coast of Lycia is not the first place to start shipping, and the naval battle, under Ramessu III., at Medinet Habu, is quite in keeping with such pirate habits. The mention of the city of Zikhra may some day be of great value for fixing Alashia; it is not to be found in maps of northern Syria, and if found in Cyprus it would help the identification of the island.
LETTERS OF THE PEACE

(32) \(x\) to \(y\) a fragment naming copper, 30 talents, procuring much copper for \(y\), the land of Kinakhi. . . . (W. 31.)

(33) King of ALASHIA to king of Egypt. Sends his messenger with 500 talents of copper, apologizes for not sending more in consequence of pestilence. Asks for silver, an ox, oil, and one of the “Eagle Conjurers.” People quarrel with the king of A. about his sending wood to Egypt. An Alashian has died in Egypt, leaving son and wife at home. Asks for return of deceased’s property by the messenger. Warns king of E. against kings of Khatti and of Shankhar, and whatever they send A., A. will send double to Egypt.

(W. 25; S.B.A. xiii. 544.)

(34) Vizier of ALASHIA to Vizier of Egypt; sends 5 talents of copper and 2 ivory. . . .

(W. 32.)

The above letter of the king seems to come at the end, when outlying people were beginning to be disaffected to Egypt by the quarrel about supplying Egypt with wood. The reference to “eagle conjurers” is unexplained; the words are so read by different translators, and have been supposed to refer to men who caught eagles by art, or to men who divined by the
flight of birds, like the Etruscan haruspex. Another indication that trouble was brewing is seen in the ambiguous position of the kings of the Khatti and of Shankhar. The reference to the claim on the property of a merchant who had died is evidence that some principles of international law were recognized, and that the king interfered to obtain justice for private rights. Lastly we see the viziers of the two countries did business together by the messengers; sending small presents, doubtless to receive a return from Egypt.

These letters from Alashia, though not showing the pomp and wealth of Upper Mesopotamia or Babylonia, yet prove the establishment of an organized government, with regular foreign relations, legal rights defined, and an active trade going on. Such is a most interesting view of the early bronze age in Cyprus, and suggests a growth of such institutions during some considerable time before.

15. Kings of Assyria and Nukhashi.

Two isolated letters show that other kingdoms also kept up a friendly diplomatic correspondence with Egypt. From
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So the grandfather was set up about 1440 or 1450 B.C. This throws him decidedly to the time of Tahutmes III., 1481-1449, rather than Tahutmes IV., 1423-1414 B.C. We must read, therefore, Men-kheper-ra, and not Men-kheperu-ra.


The remainder of the letters of the peace are from various governors and chiefs of smaller states and towns. Some of them recur again in the troublous times which followed. But these short letters as a whole give such a picture of the affairs of the Egyptian dominions that they should be read together.

(37) SHUTARNA of Mushikhuna to the king.
Desires some attention, and for a garrison to occupy the cities. (W. 232.)

The name of this place does not occur again, but it was probably in the north, as the ruler, Shutarna, is named after the king of Mitani in the preceding generation.

(38) SHUTARNA of Mushikhuna to king: remainder lost. (W. 233.)

(39) x to the king: names the Akhlamu (between Babylon and Nineveh) and the king of Karduniash (Babylonia). (W. 291.)
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(40) x to y. A king writes to the kings of Kinakhi (Galilee and the Hauran), saying that he is going to send Akia to condole with the king of Egypt. He desires that A. go in safety to the city of Zukhli in Egypt.

(W. 14; B.O.D. 58.)

This condolence must have been on the death of Amenhotep III.; and the issue of this sort of passport points to the country being somewhat disturbed. The city of Zukhli, in Egypt, where the king resided, must be one of the capitals of the country; and remembering the Egyptian union of l and r, this can hardly be other than the city of Sokari, or now Saqqarah, the main capital Memphis.

(41) MUTZU’... to king. King wrote by Khaia about caravans to Khani-galbat, which M. escorted, as (La)paia his father did the caravans to Khani-galbat and Karduniash. Let the king send other caravans and M. will escort them.

(W. 256.)

The restoration of Lapaia is only a guess; and it does not seem very likely, as Lapaia was in Judæa, whereas this writer seems rather to have been in the north. Possibly this... paia is Yakhzibaia of two other
letters (104, 105), of whom no details are known; or it may be a fresh name.

(42) SHIPTURI ... to the king. Acknowledges letter, guards the city, and provides for caravans. (W. 242.)

(43) x to the king. Names caravans to Buzruna. (Bozrah.) (W. 145.)

(44) x prince of Qanu to king. Ready to join troops. (W. 251.)

(45) RUSMANIA prince of Taruna to king. Obeisance. (W. 260.)

   Taruna may be Toran near Tiberias.

(46) ZISHAMINI to king. Obeisance. (W. 261.)

(47) DASHRU to king. Obeisance. (W. 245.)

(48) DASHRU to king. Obeisance. (W. 244)

(49) GESDINNA to king. Report. (S.B.A. x. 496.)

(50) SURASHAR prince of ... tiashna to king. Obeisance. (W. 257.)

(51) SHABA ... to king. Sends presents. (W. 222.)

(52) YAB ... to SHUMUKHA. ... Y. is in disgrace. (W. 223.)

(53) AMAYASHI to king: A. will join troops. (W. 253.)

(54) YIKTASU to king. Y. guards city. (W. 254.)
(55) BADUZA to king. Obedient, and has been maligned. (W. 255.)

(56) SHIPTIADDI to king of Egypt. Acknowledges letter. Yankhamu is faithful. (W. 241 ; B.O.D. 65.)

(57) SHIPTI . . . . to king. Guards city. (W. 243.)

(58) ZITRIYARA to king. Obeisance. (W. 247.)

(59) ZITRIYARA to king. Acknowledges letter and performs orders. (W. 248 ; B.O.D. 76.)

(60) ZITRIYARA to king. Report. (W. 246 ; S.B.A. x. 499.)

(61) x of Dubu or Gubbu to king, who sent soldiers to king's army. (W. 250 ; B.O.D. 78.)

(62) Fragment naming Ugarit (?) (W. 287 ; S.B.A. x. 517.)

(63) SHUBANDI to king. Obeisance. (W. 229.)

(64) SHUBANDI to king. Khanya has come to S., and S. sends 300 oxen and 30 girls. (W. 228 ; S.B.A. xi. 331.)

(65) SHUBANDI to king. S. was disabled by illness. (W. 226 ; B.O.D. 40.)

(66) SHUBANDI to king, acknowledges letter and defends his city. (W. 224 ; B.O.D. 38.)

(67) SHUBANDI to king, same. (W. 225 ; B.O.D. 39.)
(68) SHATIYA to king, guards city of Inishat-si(ri?), and has sent his daughter to the palace for the king. (W. 249; B.O.D. 77.)

(69) ARTAMANYA of Ziri-bashani (plain of Bashan) to the king, is ready to go with his troops wherever ordered.
(W. 161; R.P. xvii. 99.)

(70) ABDTIRSHI of Khazura to the king. A. guards Khazura, (Hazor, near Tyre).
(W. 203.)

(71) x of Khazuri to the king. Will guard the city until the king comes.
(W. 202; B.O.D. 47.)

This city of Khasuri is Hazor, 11 S.E. of Tyre, as shown by letter 183.

(72) PUADDI of Wurza (Yerzeh near Shechem) to king. P. guards his land under Rianapa.
(W. 236; B.O.D. 56.)

(73) PUADDI to king; P. defends his place and is ready to escort caravans. Names Shakhshikhashikha. (W. 235; B.O.D. 55.)

(74) PUADDI prince of Wurza to king. Defends city. (W. 234; S.B.A. xi. 329.)

(75) ARZAWAYA prince of Mikhiza to king. A. will join the troops and send supplies.
(W. 175.)

This Mikhiza appears in another letter as Rukhizi, and was probably on the south side of Hermon, by other references to Arzawaya.
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(76) ARZAWAYA to king; acknowledges letter. (W. 176.)

The following is from a different person, as it refers to the south instead of the north of Palestine.

(77) ARZAYA to king; acknowledges letter, and mentions Gazri (Gezer). (W. 177.)

(78) ADDUMIKHIR to king. Obeisance. (W. 187.)

(79) ADDUMIKHIR to king. City is secure and obedient. (W. 188.)

(80) TAGI to king. Obeisance. (W. 190.)

(81) TAGI to king. Sends Takhmaini, and asks for gold. (W. 265.)

(82) MILKILI to king. City is safe. . . . Khamu . . . sends to king 6 women, 5 boys, and 5 men. (W. 168.)

Probably Khamu . . . is Khamuniri.

(83) MILKILI to king. Acknowledges letter and asks for troops. (W. 172; B.O.D. 63.)

(84) MILKILI to king. M. will execute an order sent to him. (W. 169; S.B.A. xi. 371.)

(85) ABDMILKI of Shaskhimi to king. Ready to join troops. (W. 252.)

(86) SHUWARDATA to king. Carries out orders. (W. 200; B.O.D. 69.)

(87) SHUWARDATA to king. Has sent a present. (W. 198; B.O.D. 67.)

(88) x prince of Nazima to king. States his adhesion. (W. 263.)
(89) DIYATI to king. Guards city and supplies provision. (W. 264.)
(90) YITIA of Asqaluna (Ashkelon) to king. Guards the city. (W. 210.)
(91) YITIA to king. Y. sends food, drink, oxen, &c., as tribute. (W. 207; B.O.D. 52.)
(92) YITIA to king. Y. supplied the troops with all necessaries. (W. 209; B.O.D. 54.)
(93) YITIA to king. Y. guards city and sends tribute of Lupakku stones. (W. 208; B.O.D. 53.)
(94) YITIA of Asqaluna to king. Guards the city. (W. 211.)
(95) YITIA of Asqaluna to king. Guards the city. (W. 212.)
(96) YITIA to king. Guards the city. The present officer is incapable, and asks that Rianapa be appointed. (W. 213.)
(97) YABNI-ILU prince of Lakisha (Lachish) to king. Obeisance, and attends to message sent by Maia. (W. 218.)
(98) ZIMRIDA prince of Lakisha to king. Has received orders and will execute them. (W. 217; S.B.A. xiii. 319.)
(99) KHIZIRI to king. Protects Maia according to orders, and provides for troops (see 97). (W. 259.)
(100) BAIAYA to king. Attends to orders of Maya, and will follow troops. (W. 231.)
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lying upon the high road had to supply food for the passing troops, and probably Yitia took advantage of a soldier messenger to send in a little report of his providing supplies.
CHAPTER III.

THE NORTH SYRIAN WAR

17. Trouble in Amki with the Khatti.

The next series are the letters which show the gradual breaking up of the state of peace, and the loss of Northern Syria. The troubles seem to have begun in Central Syria, and to have been provoked there by attempts of the Egyptian allies to secure more territory, trusting in their backing from Egypt to support them. In this they were disappointed, and so the power of Egypt first showed its waning by abandoning its friends.

The first four letters refer to attacks on Amki, which by its name (the "hollow" or "deep place") was a large valley, and by its position must have been the upper part of the Litâny river, inland from Beyrut. The chiefs about the lower Litâny, at the bend of the river, thought that they could capture the upper part of the valley.
(107) ILDAYA of Khazi to the king. Warred against cities in the land of Amki, but Idagama of Kinza with the Khatti drove him off. (W. 132.)

(108) BIRI[DASHYA?] of Khashabu to the king. Warred against cities in the land of Amki, but Idagama of Kinza with the Khatti drove him off. (W. 131.)

(109) x (Namiawaza?) to the king. Warred against cities in the land of Amki, but Idagama of Kinza with the Khatti drove him off. (W. 133; B.O.D. 46.)

Here are three letters almost verbally identical from three different chiefs, who had evidently leagued together to attack the upper Litâny valley. The position of the land of Amki is very probably shown by the place 'Ammît, nineteen miles south-east of Beyrut. The restoration of the name Biridashya is almost certain, as he was acting on Ynuama, and in league with Buzruna and Khalunni in No. 115; hence he must have been about the region of Khashabu, the Arabic Ḥāshaba. The result of this affair appears in an extension of Hittite power in the next.

(110) ADDU . . . IA . . . and BATTI - ILU to the king. Khatti and Lupakku have
taken cities of Amki, and cities belonging to A-addu. Zitana and 9 men have arrived in Nukhashi, and writers go to see about them and enquire of king if they really come from him. Greeting to Ben-ili, Abd-urash, Ben-ana, Ben-zidki, Amur-addi, and Anati. (W. 125; R.P. xvii. 99.)

Batti-ilu was a companion of Aziru’s brother, as we see in No. 191, and so he probably belonged to Amurri; as Nukhashi seems to have lain immediately east of the Orontes valley of Amurri this would be very natural.

18. Trouble about Damascus.

After this first opening of serious troubles, resulting in the Hittites becoming established in the Litâny valley, the next difficulty is in Aziru coming down from the Orontes valley into the plain of Damascus, and this we learn took place even before the death of Amenhotep III.

(AKIZZI to NAM-MUR-IA. A. is governor of Qatna (west of Damascus); he has victualled troops, and asks for troops to occupy the country, so as to secure Nukhashi. If they delay in Martu (Amurri, Orontes), Azira will succeed; and if troops do not march this year he will get the whole
country. The king of Khatti has burned a city and taken spoils. Azira has captured men of Qatna, and Akizzi asks for them to be ransomed. King of Khatti has also taken Shamash, the god of A.’s father, and A. asks for gold to ransom him.

(W. 138; B.O.D. 36.)

(112) AKIZZI to NAM-MUR-IA. King of Khatti tries to seduce A. Tiuwatti of Lapana (Helbon), Arzauia of Rukhizi, and Aidagama are leagued; but kings of Nukhashi, Ni, Zinzar, and Kinanat are faithful. Asks for troops soon, as if Arzauia and Tiuwatti come to land of Ubi, and Dasha is in land of Amma, then Ubi will be lost. They daily call on Aidagama to conquer Ubi. As Timashgi (Damascus) in the land of Ubi stretches out her hands so does Qatna.

(W. 139; B.O.D. 37.)

From this it seems that an alliance of the Hittites in their new possession of the upper Litâny with the neighbouring chiefs, Itakama of the Upper Orontes, and Tiuwatti of the north of Damascus, had been formed to attack the fertile plain of Damascus, which included Qatna. But several chiefs around this alliance remained faithful. Another fragment of a letter of Akizzi is
(113) AKIZZI to the king. Complains of delay, and names Kinza the city of Itakama.

(W. 140.)


The other side of the matter appears in a letter from Itakama, complaining of the forward movement of the Egyptian party, which we noticed in letters 107–109. Very possibly letter 109, of which the writer's name is lost, was from Namyawaza.

(114) ITAKAMA to the king. Namyawaza has maligned him, and occupied all the land of I.'s father in the land of Gidshi (Qedesh by Lake Homs). I. appealed to Pukhari the deputy. N. has delivered all the cities in the land of Gidshi and in Ubi (Upper Orontes and Damascus plain) to the Khabiri. I. offers to drive out the Khabiri and N.

(W. 146.)

The politics are complex, as there appears to have been a triangular duel going on, owing to everybody fighting for their own hand. Itakama was opposed to Namyawaza (see 114); Namyawaza was opposed to Biridashyi (see 116, 117); and we can hardly doubt that Bir... is Biridashyi opposed to Idagama (see 108). Namyawaza was certainly on the Egyptian side, as
he was supported by the Egyptian resident, who reckoned Biridashya as an enemy (see 122). The best way to understand this seems to be that at first Namyawaza was pro-Egyptian, and Itakama and Biridashyi neutral. Then owing to the aggression of N. and B. upon I., I. was thrown into opposition and was successful (108, 109). Finding I. successful, B. changed sides and attacked N. with I. as we see in 116.

The Egyptian ally, Namyawaza, sends his own version in a very full historical letter (116), which we here preface by a note (115), which shows how the Khabiri and Sati were originally allies of the Egyptians.

(115) NAMYAWAZA to the king. Reports his readiness for service with his soldiers, chariots, brothers, his Khabiri, and his Suti.

(W. 144; R. P. xvii. 96.)

This letter is important as showing that the SAGAS, who are generally taken as the same as the Khabiri, were at their earliest appearance—while yet allies of the Egyptian party—employed in Central Syria, and were thus beyond the limits of the subsequent occupation of Palestine by the Hebrews. This should suffice to show how futile is
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The history of this fighting is obvious, with the map in hand. Itakama having repelled the Egyptian advance under Namyawaza, Biridashya turned and sided with the winning side. He attacked the land behind Tyre, at Ynuama (Yanuh), and fearing that Namyawaza would come down the Litâny and fight him at Khashabu (Hôshaba), or Ynuama, he crossed over eastward and drew the chiefs of Kholunni (Gaulonitis, Jaulan) and Butsruna (Bozrah) to attack Namyawaza on the east, driving him to take care of himself and take refuge, perhaps, in Damascus; but, unhappily, some words are missing at this part. Then Arzawaya and Itakama were at leisure, with Biridashya, to ravage northern Galilee, taking or threatening Gizza (Gish), Shaddu (Shatiyeh), and Abitu (Abdeh). But Namyawaza succeeded so far in holding the important post of Kumidi (Kamid), in the Litâny valley on the road from Damascus to Beyrut.

20. Galilee Rebelling.

The next letter shows the rebellion spreading just south of this region, in southern Galilee. From the names of places of which news is sent, this letter must have
been written from some place near Akka; and as it was a safe place, in communication with Egyptians, it was probably from Akka itself, but we know nothing more of Mutaddi.

(118) MUTADDI to YANKHAMU. Aiab has fled, also the king of Bikhishi, before the Egyptian officer. Bininima, Tadua, and Yashuia are there. x was driven out of Ashtarti. Cities of the land of Gar (Gur) rebel, Udumu (Adamah), Aduri (Et Tireh), Araru (Arareh), Mishtu (Mushtah), Magdali, (Magdala), and Khinianabi (\textit{Andn }?). Also, Tsarqi, Khawini (\textit{Khatin}), and Yabishi (Yabesh) are taken. Y came to Bikhishi and heard the Egyptian orders. (W. 237.)

This land of Gar is the Heb. Gur, and seems from the places named to have included all the southern half of Galilee. Thus at this point we find that all Galilee was lost to the Egyptians. Further news comes from Akka.

(119) SURATA, of Akka, to the king. Obeisance.

(W. 157.)

Sharatu was father of Shutatna, as we see in letter 124.

(120) ZATATNA, of Akka, to the king. Obeisance.

(W. 158.)
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(121) ZITATNA, of Akka, to the king. Obeisance. (W. 160; B.O.D. 32.)

(122) ZATATNA, of Akka, to the king. [Ziri]dayashda [? Biridashya] fled from Namyawaza . . . . Shuta, the (Egyptian) officer. Egyptian soldiers are in Makida with a female. Shuta sent to Zatatna ordering Z. to deliver Zirdayashda to Namyawaza, but it was impossible to do so. Akka is like Magdali in Egypt, let it not be taken by these men.

(W. 159; R.P. xvii. 95.)

This last letter seems as if it might well refer to Biridashya, who had suffered a reverse from Namyawaza, and fled to the Egyptians in Akka; we know nothing else of Zirdayashda, and the resemblance to the name Biridashya is near enough to be thought of in connection with well-known bungles of the scribes and uncertainties of modern reading.

(123) AKIZZI to the king. Refers to a caravan (?) in the third year; names Bidinaza.

(W. 290.)

This letter must have been written after the death of Amenhotep III., as otherwise the third year (of Amenhotep IV.) would not be named; so it must be subsequent to
the other letters of Akizzi, 111, 112. The difficulty about caravans seems to have been felt now that Galilee was in rebellion, thus cutting the road to northern Syria and Mesopotamia. The last letter of Burnaburyash refers to this matter.

(124) BURNABURYASH to NAPKHURURIA. B.'s merchants went with Akhi-thabu, and stayed in Kinakhi (E. of Merom) on business; Akhithabu went on, and then in the city of Khinatuni (Kanawat) in Kinakhi, Shumadda (of Merom, see 125), son of Balumi, and Shutatna, son of Sharatu of Akkaiu, killed them and took the caravan. As Kinakhi belongs to Egypt, so Napkhururia must subdue these robbers and compensate the owners of the goods, otherwise trade will be cut off. Shumadda has kept one of the Babylonians with his feet cut off. Shutatna has taken another as his slave. These must be returned. Present sent 1 maneh of enamel (?). Desires messenger to be returned soon.

(W. 11; R.P. xv. 65.)

Who Shumadda was is shown by the following short note, written probably earlier, while he was allied to Egypt.

(125) SHAMUADDU, prince of Shamkhuna, to the king. Has received message.

(W. 220; S.B.A. xii. 328.)
Another letter seems to belong to the rebellious times, excusing not giving tribute.

(126) SHUMADDU to the king. S. does not send soon because his father, Kuzuna, did not do so. (W. 221; B.O.D. 66)

The position of Shummada at Shamkhuna, allied with Akka, and attacking Kanawat, is clearly identified with the name of Lake Merom in Greek times, Semekhonitis. This seems derived from some district named Semkhon by the Lake, probably the region of the spring of Semakh, six miles to the north-east of the lake. The history of this plundering of the caravans is very clear, and shows that Galilee was more rebellious than the Hauran at that time. The names are some of them familiar in the Jewish writings of a later time, as Ahitub (Akhi-thabu), Shema-yah (parallel to Shamu-Addu), and Balumi (Balaam).


We now turn to the more northern part of Syria where the rebellion of Aziru, which we saw beginning in Akizzi's letter (111), was steadily successful until he became paramount in all that region. We begin
a little before that letter of Akizzi (111) in order to show the earlier position of Aziru, the most energetic son of Abd-ashratu, lord of Amurri, or the middle Orontes valley.

(127) ABDASHT[AR]TI to king. Obeisance.

(W. 40; B.O.D. 34.)

(128) AZIRI to king. Sends two men as slaves (? messengers) and asks for their return to Amurri.

(W. 42; S.B.A. xv. 21.)

(129) ... KHIBIYA to resident. Obeisance. Guards city, but enmity is powerful. A message has come from Amurri. (W. 258.)

The above seem to show Aziru as an obedient subject. But he soon falls under suspicion and seeks to excuse himself.

(130) AZIRI to DUDU (Egyptian resident). A. has done all that the king desired, and rules the land of Amuri. Desires D. to vindicate him from certain slanders.

(W. 44; S.B.A. xiii. 217.)

(131) [ABDASHRATU ?] to DUDU. Asks for the return of Aziru back quickly from Egypt. The kings of Nukhashi said to A. that his father sent gold to the king of Egypt but got nothing in return. Refers to his Suti troops.

(W. 52; S.B.A. xiii. 216.)
From this it seems that Aziru was in Egypt as a hostage or messenger, and his father was pointing out his fidelity in order to get his son back again. The great opponent of Aziru's advance was Ribaddi, the governor of northern Phoenicia, and the series of his letters is the most important of all. We turn back a little here to take up his earlier notes before the great struggle.

(132) [AMANAPPA ?] to RIBADDU. R. had reported a plague in Tsumuri among people and sheep. A. orders him to send sheep, which are the king's. (W. 89.)

(133) x to y. Mentions Ribaddi, Abdaddi, and Benazi. (W. 116.)

(134) RIBADDI to the king. Names the Khabiri and the officer Amanappa. . . . Let Yapa-addi be blamed. . . . Two of R.'s ships have been seized by Yapa-addi (?) . . . (W. 106; S.B.A. xi. 361.)

Other chiefs were also finding difficulties overtaking them about this time.

(135) YAMA to the king. Y. defends his cities till help shall come. (W. 238; S.B.A. xi. 392.)

(136) SHUBANDU to the king. The Khabiri are strong. (W. 227.)
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ABDASHRAT to the king. A. declares that his enemies are strong, and desires protection; will obey all commands, and sends ten women. (W. 39; B.O.D. 33.)

The end of a letter apparently from ABDASHIRTA to the king. Khaya is hostile to the king. Let not the ships of Ziduna and Biruta come to Amurri to kill Abdashirta. Let the king seize the ships of Arwada which are in Egypt, and which were left by Mani with A. A. asks for a governor to be put in Ziduna and Biruta, who will supply him with a ship, or else the Amurri will kill him. (W. 124; B.O.D. 44.)

Here we see Abdashirta striving to make out that he was in danger owing to his friendship for Egypt, and abusing Khaya who was the regular Egyptian agent or officer. The request for a new governor in Zidon and Berut is evidently to supersede Ribaddi, who was sincerely in Egyptian interest, and against Abdashirta. Or, possibly, Ribaddi was not yet in charge of those cities, as we find a Zimrida governor of Zidon.

ZIMRIDI to king. Z. is prince of Ziduna, which is safe; but all his other cities have fallen to the Khabiri, and he asks for troops and succour.

(W. 147; S.B.A. xiii. 318.)
(146) ZIMRIDI to the king. Mentions Zumuri being in Egyptian hands. (W. 148.)

All the subterfuges of Abdashirta would not suffice to stifle the complaints against him, and a long and severe letter was sent to him from Egypt, of which fortunately a copy is preserved.

(147) The king to the Chief of Amurra. The Chief of Gubla (Ribaddi?), living in Zituna, asked the Chief of Amurra to help him, but was not protected. Amurra has allied with the Chief of Qidsha (Itakama?), who is an enemy. The king does not wish to threaten the land of Kinakhi; and asks A. to send his son to Egypt as a hostage, and allows this year for him to do so; next year will be too late. A. had asked for Khanni in place of the king, to deliver to him the enemies. A. is asked to help in bringing in Sharru and all his sons, Tuya, Liya and all his sons, Yishiari and his sons, the son-in-law of Malia and his sons and wives . . . . Dashirti, Paluma, and Nimmakhi the Khapadu in Amurri.

(W. 50; S.B.A. xiii. 224.)

Here we have the official Egyptian rebuke for the alliance of which we saw the effects in letters 107-109. And about this time we
have Aziri's letters excusing his going into Egypt as a hostage, in compliance with the Egyptian demand. The first refers probably to the bearer of the previous letter.

(148) AZIRI to DUDU. Khatib has come from the king; the king of Khatti has come into Nukhashi, and therefore Aziri and Khatib must stay.

(W. 45; S.B.A. xiii. 229.)

(149) AZIRI to KHAI. A. and Khatib will soon leave. King of Khatti is in Nukhashi, and A. guards the land of Martu (= Amurra), and fears the fall of the city of Dunip.

(W. 46; S.B.A. xiii. 231.)

(150) AZIRI to the king. A. and Paluia serve the king. A. and Khatib will come soon, but the king of Khatti is in Nukhashi; there are two roads to Tunip, and A. fears its fall.

(W. 47; S.B.A. xiii. 232.)

The successes of the Khatti, pushing south to the land of Hamath, in real agreement with Aziri, as we see by letter 111, are skilfully used as a reason for his remaining where he was, and not leaving for Egypt. The fact of Tunip not being yet in the hands of the Khatti, while it seems to lie on the highway to Nukhashi, may point to
one of the following conclusions: (1) The advance of the Khatti had been to the Euphrates first, then down the stream and striking across by the Sebkha to the Orontes Valley, thus cutting off Tunip; or (2) Tunip may have been in Aziru’s hands, in real alliance with the Khatti, though he professes to fear them; or (3) Nukhashi must be placed as far north as Tunip. Of these the first seems the probable truth.

23. Ribaddi attacked.

We next enter on the long series of Ribaddi’s letters.

(151) RIBADDI to king. Abd-ashrat holds R.’s cities, and was not ashamed at R.’s complaint. King wrote to king of Biruna and king of Tsiduna. . . . (W. 58.)

(152) RIBADDI to king. R. cannot send ships to Zalukhi and Ugarit because of Aziru. The Khatti are plundering the lieges of Gubla. (W. 104.)

(153) RIBADDI to king. Names city of Tisa . . . in land of Tsumuri, and land of Martu (Amurri). (P.A. 35 No. 3.)

(154) RIBADDI to the king. Names Tsumuri and Abdashirta. (P.A. 35 No. 2.)
These letters belong to the beginning of the troubles, and it cannot be settled exactly where they were written. The sending of ships to Ugarit shows that it was on the coast, and not far inland as has been proposed. As we have already noticed, the mouth of the Orontes appears to be the place; and Ugarit or Akaritu seems to be the Okrad or Akrad—Kurdland—of modern times. Zalukhi must also be on the coast.

(155) RIBADDI to the king. R. is only friendly with Tsumura. Sent two messengers to the king, but not returned. Asks for general to come and take Abdashirta and Aziru. Ullaza named. If troops not sent land will fall to the Khabiri; or at least write to Yankhamu or Bikhura to occupy Amurru. R. strives with Yapa-addu and Khatib. Asks for troops and people of Milukha to save the city from falling to the Khabiri. (W. 75; R.P. xviii. 52.)

(156) RIBADDI, governor of Gubla, to the king. Tsumur is not taken, but in great danger. Yapa-addi afflicts R. Abdashirta's sons are tools of the kings of Mitani, Kash, and the Khatti. Let troops be sent with Yankhamu . . . . Yarimuta . . . . deputy of Kumidi (i.e. Bikhura). . . .

(W. 87; R.P. xviii. 59.)
This last letter was evidently written from Gubla; and so, therefore, probably also was the letter before it. It appears that Ribaddi was chief of Gubla, and in more or less authority over all northern Phoenicia, as he stays to and fro in various cities during his troubles. The next letter was probably also written from Gubla.

(157) RIBADDI to king. Enquiries about R.’s messenger, who had been despoiled; Khabiri takes possession of all lands. If R. agrees with Abd-ashirta as Yapa-addi and Zimrida did he would be safe. If Tsumur is lost, and Bit (Su?) arti is given to Yankhamu, he ought to give corn to R. Shuti people are against R. Tell Y. that R. is really the king’s. R. has written to Y. that if Y. will not help, R. must abandon city. Names Milkuru and Mutshi (?).

This shows that Zidon was now lost, as we know that Zimrida was governor of that city (145), and in all later letters we shall see that Zidon belongs to the enemy.

(158) RIBADDI to king. R. is distressed by sons of Abdashirta who occupy Amurri. Only Tsumura and Irqata are left, and R. is in Tsumura, for the deputy fled from Gubla . . . Zimrida . . . and Yapaaddu . . . against R., and would not attend to deputy. Ask for troops for Tsumura and Irqata, those of Tsumura having fled.

(W. 78; R.P. xv. 70.)

This last letter shows Ribaddi to have moved from Gubla to Tsumura in order to maintain its defence, the troops having deserted. The city of Irqata appealed also directly to Egypt; and this and the letter of the men of Tunip are interesting pieces of evidence of a municipal government then, which could conduct public affairs in its own name, without being headed by an individual. It begins "Irqata and its elders fall down at the feet of the king."

(159) IRQATA, city, to the king. Babikha was sent by the king. People in land of Shanku are enemies. Strong appeal for help.

(W. 122; B.O.D. 42.)

It may be this [Ba?]bikha is the same as Abbikha of one of the last letters, who was then rebellious.
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his way to Egypt. R. asks for help to get food from Yarimuta. Yapa-addi would not let Amanma go to Alashia, and is joined with Aziri. (S.B.A. xv. 359.)

This is the first mention of getting food for Gubla from Yarimuta; two earlier letters name Yarimuta (142, 156), but its real importance to Gubla comes out during the siege and famine. It was obviously near to Gubla, and a prosperous city in a fertile country, as it exported provision. It was probably to the north of Gubla, as all the places to the south are referred to by Ribaddi as being in his charge, whereas Yarimuta was beyond his scope. All this agrees to the position of the next important place north of Gubla, now Latakia—Laodicea—at the mouth of a large river, the Nahr el Kebir. Yarimuta is obviously a Yerimoth, or Ramoth—a "high place"; and the pre-Greek name of Laodicea was Ramitha, which exactly agrees to this. The importance of shipping on this coast should be observed; there were sea-fights, when vessels put out on the high seas to intercept and capture others. The reference to going to Alashia on the way to Egypt is somewhat puzzling, as Cyprus is off the line that we
should expect for shipping between Gubla and Egypt. Yet it is a valuable allusion in two ways; (1) it shows that Gubla could not be at Byblos as is often supposed, as that would be much farther from any possible site for Alashia; and (2) it almost shows that Alashia cannot be on the Syrian coast from the Orontes northward, as that would be an impossible position to take on the way to Egypt. It was possible to go across to Cyprus in order to get the larger and better shipping which seems to have regularly traded to Egypt with copper (see 28, 29); but any position further up the coast would be impossible in this view.

(162) RIBADDI to king. Bumabula (?) son of Abdasherta holds Ullaza, Ardata, Yikhliya, Ambi, and Shigata; R. asks for help to Tsumura, for A.'s sons conquer the king's land for the kings of Mitani and Kash. If king waits till they take Tsumura, what will become of R? who cannot go to help Tsumura. Ambi, Shigata, Ullaza, and Yada revolt, and R. fears that Gubla will fall into hands of Khabiri.

(W. 86; R.P. xviii. 58.)

Here we find that the rebels had seized most of the coast, and only the more im-
important places were holding out against them. Ullaza (*El Usy*), Ardata (*Arthāsi*), Shigata (*Sukāt*), and Tsumura (*Sumra*), are all pretty certainly known; and they help us to gain some idea of the position of Yikhliya, which agrees well to *Qeleiat* between Tsumura and Ardata; and Ambi, which is often named with Shigata, and was probably near it, may well be *Baldeh*, an ancient port lying next to *Sukāt*. We have seen before (letter 156) that the kings of Mitani and Kash were said to be behind all this trouble, and pushing on Aziri to act for them. Of the king of Mitani this may well be believed so far as position goes. But Kash is usually supposed to be Babylonia, yet such an accusation against a distant land is unlikely. It is repeated in 172. In 193 we see 600 men of Kashi asked for to defend Gubla, and in 214 such a request is repeated. In 234 Naharain and Kash are linked together as being both within reach of the Egyptian ships, and in 254 the Kashi are interfering in the south by Jerusalem. All these references show that Babylonians cannot be thought of in such connections; and we must rather look to the region of Mitani and Naharain, bordering on Amurra,
and on or close to the sea. Possibly the name may remain in Mount Kasios, which belonged to the land of the warlike Kurds, the Akarit or Ugarit.

(163) RIBADDI to the king. R. fears that Tsumura will fall to the Khabiri. Pakhamnata was in Tsumura and supplied Gubla with food, and after that ordered it from Yarimuta. (W. 88.)

(164) RIBADDI to the king. Abd-ashirta is camping against Tsumura. Gubla as well as Khikubta belong to the king. Send back Abd-Ninib, whom R. sent with Bukhiya. (W. 53.)

The mention of ordering food from Yarimuta to Gubla, after Tsumura could not supply it, shows that Yarimuta must have been a very near port to Gubla, and agrees with its being Ramitha. The place Khikubta, which is said to belong to the king, is supposed to be Ha-ka-ptah, Memphis; and so the sense would be that Gubla is as much the king's as his own capital. This seems very probable.

(165) RIBADDI to king. Tsumura is like a bird in a snare, let the king look to it. Sons of Abdashirta on land and Arwada by sea . . . sent . . . to Yankhami. . . . If ships come
from Eg. they will not fear Abdashirta. A. has seized Ullaza. R. is not strong enough to aid Tsumura, for Yapa-addu is contrary, and has plundered R., who complained to Amanappa and Turbikhaza and Yankhami. No grain left. (W. 84.)

(166) RIBADDI to king. Asks for men from Misri and Milukha and horses. Has sold everything to Yarimuta for food. King ordered Khaya to be brought to Tsumur; R. gave 13 of silver and garments to the Khabiri when he brought letter to Tsumur. Khaya is there, ask him. (W. 74)

This request for troops shows that Milukha must be an ally of Egypt, and the men of Milukha like the Shardani in the Egyptian service. As they are most likely Syrians, we should find Milukha probably on the Syrian coast; and it seems very likely that these men (also asked for in 155 and 175) were the mainlanders of Tyre, for close south-east of Tyre is Khurbet el Meluhiyeh. The Tyrians would naturally have a body of trained troops to defend Tyre, and such seem to have been taken as organized auxiliaries into the Egyptian service. As, however, Milukha means
merely "saltiness," it might be applied to more than one place.

(167) RIBADDI to king. Let Buribita stay in Tsumura, and let Khaib take message, and appoint him over feudal princes. Aziru and his brothers before Gubla. Thus Tsumura cannot hold out. No silver to buy horses, all spent for food. (W. 80.)

(168) [RIBADDI to the king.] Aziru attacks Gubla (?). Tsumura is in danger. (W. 95.)

(169) RIBADDI to the king. Formerly Mitani was hostile to the king's fathers, but R. was always faithful to his fathers. Names Yankhamu . . . and land of Subari; . . . kings of Kinaakhni used to flee from the Egyptians, but now Abdashirta's sons resist. R. cannot lead an officer into Tsumura. All R.'s cities have fallen away. If Khaib and Amanappa have Tsumura . . . (W. 101.)

These letters deal with the danger of Simyra, which seems to have lasted for some time, as eight letters (162–169) are written in nearly the same terms about it. The fall of Tsumur was a main event in the history of the war; but before we proceed to that we should notice some other letters that seem to
come in during this rise of the power of Aziru, resuming the history of Tsumur at 172.

(170) People of DUNIP to the king. Who would formerly have plundered Dunip without being plundered by Manakhibiria? The gods of Egypt dwell in Dunip. But we now belong no more to Egypt. For 20 years (on times) we have sent messengers, but they remain with the king; now we desire the return of Yadi-addu, who had been given by the king and then ordered to return to him. Azira has captured people in the land of Khatat. A. will treat Dunip as he has treated Nii; and if we mourn the king will also have to mourn. And when Azira enters Tsumuri he will do to us as he pleases, and the king will have to lament. “And now Dunip your city weeps, and her tears are running, and there is no help for us. For 20 years we have been sending to our lord, the king, the king of Egypt, but there has not come to us a word from our lord, not one.” (W. 41 ; S.B.A. xv. 18.)

This touching end to the letter is probably a picture of much of the state of Syria at this time, gradually forced by hard necessity and neglect to give up an alliance which had become a real bond of loyalty during the
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Henceforward Tsumura belongs to the enemy, and one great landmark in the history is passed.

(172) RIBADDI to the king. Abdashirta is strong against R., and sends to Mitana and Kash. A. has collected the Khabiri against Shigata and Ambi. “Tsumura, your fortress is now in the power of the Khabiri.”

(W. 56; R.P. xviii. 56.)

(173) AZIRI to the king. A. has carried out all his orders. The kings of Nukhashi are hostile, and therefore A. has not built up the city of Tsumuri, but will do so within a year.

(W. 48; S.B.A. xi. 410.)

Here Aziri is playing the faithful subject, and excusing his wasting the city of Simyra by the plea that he is so much occupied in resisting the kings of Nukhashi. That Tsumur was left waste after being taken, is seen by the next letter of Ribaddi's.

(174) RIBADDI to king. Tsumura has fallen, but is not occupied by enemy. War against R. now for five years... Yapakhi-addi... Zimrida (of Sidon) and princes are
against R. and Tsumura. Asks to have Yankhamu sent, and for 20 pair of horses.

(W. 85.)

(175) RIBADDI to the king. The sons of Abdashirta have taken horses and chariots and have delivered men to the land of Suri. When R. wrote to the king's father he listened and sent troops to resist A. When R. sent lately two messengers to Tsumura, the deputy prevented a message going to the king. Messengers now have to go to and fro by night because of the "Dog" (Abdashirta). If R. is distrusted let him retire, and let the King send and drive the Khabiri out of Tsumura. R. asks for 20 men of Milukha and 20 from Egypt to defend the city.

(W. 83; R.P. xviii. 50.)

This shows that Ribaddi was corresponding with Amenhotep III., and already had trouble with Azira at that time, which is in accord with the time of Azira's rise, in letter III. A stray ruler, otherwise unknown, may be noticed here.

(176) NURTUYA . . . . MA to the king. Defends his city, which is about to fall, and his father is slain.

(W. 262.)
27. Abimilki of Tyre in trouble.

Tyre next claims our notice, as it fell shortly after Tsumura. The letters about it are here grouped together, but probably the first eight should be interspersed somewhat between the defection of Zimrida after letter 145, and, perhaps, the fall of Tsumura, in letter 172. Certainly letter 185 is after letter 172.

(177) ABIMILKI to the king. A. addresses the king at great length and very effusively. A. guards the city of Tsurri until troops come to give him water to drink and wood to burn. Zimrida, of Ziduna, daily has news about Egypt from the rebel Aziri, Abdasharta's son. (W. 149; S.B.A. xv. 518.)

(178) ABIMILKI to the king. A. cannot leave his city to see the king because of Zimrida, of Ziduna, who will attack him if he leaves. Asks for 20 men to guard the city in his absence. Is hemmed in without water or wood. Sent Ilu-milki with 5 talents of copper and other gifts. King asked for news from Kinakhna. The king of Danuna is dead; his brother rules in peace. City of Ugarit is half burnt. The Khatti are quiet. Itakama took Qedesh, and Aziru has begun to fight Namyawaza. Zimrida has collected ships from Aziri's city against Abimilki.

(W. 151; S.B.A. xv. 507.)
The city of Danuna named here is *Danian*, the Hebrew Danyaan, at the Tyrian Steps, on the headland south of Tyre. The references to Itakama and Aziru fighting Namyawaza, are later than the attack by Namyawaza upon Itakama, but show that this letter probably goes in somewhere before the present point. The allusions to Zimrida, however, put it after letter 145; and that in its turn cannot be put earlier, as then the Khabiri had already taken all the region of Sidon.

The request for twenty men is like the modern idiom of Egypt in asking for two piastres, as a modest way of applying for an indefinite amount. The position of Tyre, on an island, has always made the supply of wood and of water a difficulty in war.

(179) ABIMILKI to the king. King gave orders to supply grain and water, but it has not been done. A. is the servant of Shalmayati, and Tsurri is the city of Shalmayati. Names Tsumuri. Prince of Ziduna (Zimrida) has come with two ships, and A. will go with all his ships.

(W. 152; S.B.A. xv. 515.)
(180) ABIMILKI to the king. A. will defend city, and come to see the king. Asks for Usu for his life (*i.e.*, Hosah, to supply water). (W. 153.)

(181) ABIMILKI to the king. x and Zimrida fight him. (W. 155.)

(182) ABIMILKI to the king. Since the troops left the prince of Zidon (Zimrida) stops his getting wood and water. (W. 156; S.B.A. x. 498.)

All of these letters must be about the same period. Shalmayati seems to be the god of Tyre.

(183) ABI MILKI to the king. A. asks for Uzu to supply food and water. The prince of Ziduna takes away his people, and therefore he asks for Uzu. Princes of Ziduna and of Khazura have joined the Khabiri. Let the king enquire of his officer in Kinakhi. (W. 154; S.B.A. xiii. 323.)

This Khazura was Hazor, 11 S.E. of Tyre, which prince had earlier reported his allegiance, in letters 70, 71.

(184) ABIMILKI to the king. King set A. in Tsurri. A. asks for 20 soldiers to defend the city, so that he may come to Egypt. Names Aziru Abdashrat's son [A.?] khumilki, Khabi, . . . Tsumura lost to Azira.
Zimrida has seized Uzu, after the troops abandoned it, and there is no water, and no wood, nor burial ground. Zimrida of Ziduna and Azira rebel, allied with people of Arwada, and collect ships, chariots, and soldiers to seize Tsurri, and they have taken Tsumura.

(W. 150; S.B.A. xv. 511.)

This ends the correspondence of Abimilki, who seems to have found the force of circumstances too strong for him, as Ribaddi next reports his defection.


(185) RIBADDI to the king. Tsurri is rebelling under Ya milki (?). R.'s property was put into Tsurri with R.'s sister and her sons, who have been killed with the commander; the sister's daughters were sent there to be safe from Abdashirta. Tsurri is not like the land of a feudal prince, but is as Ugarita. (W. 70; R.P xviii. 63.)

(186) RIBADDI to the king. Names city of Yabu (?). R. was not in Biruna. Aziru has taken Tsumuri. If abandoned by the king, all will fall to Aziri. x came to Biruna to negotiate with Khamunira. Half the city is attached to Abdashirta’s sons and half to the king. When R. came
to Biruna he sent his son to the king, but for three months he has not seen the king. R. sent a man as far as Takhida, but he was seized. Names land of Yabu. A city will say Ribaddi remains in Biruna, no one comes to the rescue, and they will join Aziri. Gublites write asking if troops will be soon sent. Asks for troops that sons of Abdashirta may not take Biruna. (W. 91.)

The land of Yabu, or Yapu, is unknown, unless it be Yapu—Joppa—down in the south, which seems unlikely. It seems that Ribaddi tried to attract help by sending his son to personally represent the case in Egypt, but quite fruitlessly. Takhida is unknown, and no such name exists on the road to Egypt. After Ribaddu went to Beyrut, his brother Rabimur ruled at Gubla in his absence.

(187) RABIMUR to the king. R. prays the king not to neglect Gubla. Aziru has killed kings of Ammia, Ardata, and Ni, . . . R. begs for 30 or 50 men for Gubla. Messages from Tsumuru and a governor now killed, show that Aziru is a rebel.

(W. 120; B.O.D. 45.)

(188) RABIMUR to the king. Aziru killed Aduna king of Irqata, king of Ammia,
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Next come more excuses from Aziru.

(191) AZIRI to the king. A. is slandered. King wrote about Khani the envoy. A. explains that he was staying at Tunip, and knew not of K.’s coming. When he heard he started, but did not overtake K. A.’s brother and Bati-ilu waited upon K., and gave him full supplies and animals. When A. came to see the king, K. received him kindly. And now king accuses A. of neglecting K. A. appeals to the kings, gods, and the sun that he really was at Tunip. King ordered A. to rebuild Tsumur; but A. says kings of Nukhashi are hostile, and are taking A.’s cities by order of Khatib, so A. has not rebuilt Tsumur, but will do so quickly. Khatib took away half the implements and all the gold and silver sent by the king. King asks why A. refused his envoy and welcomed that of the Khatti; but A. will give everything to an envoy.

(W. 51; S.B.A. xv. 372.)

Another letter of excuse is from Abdashirta, the father.

(192) ABDASHRATU to the king. A. guards the whole land of Amurri for the king. A. tells Pakhanati, his inspector, to bring a guard to defend the king’s land . . . Amurru. . . . Ask Pakhanati if A. does not defend Tsumuri and Ulla. A. is under P.

(W. 38; S.B.A. xv. 502.)
These letters show that the Amorite party of Abdashirta and his son Aziri were posing still as faithful subjects. This letter 192 gives the last mention of Ullaza. The excuse of Aziri for not receiving the king's envoy might hold good; but we know too well Aziri's reason for being at Tunip, from the previous wail of the people of Tunip about their fear of Aziri, in letter 170. Evidently Aziri felt quite strong enough to do as he chose, and to tell the king that he would rebuild Tsumura soon, without really troubling about it.

While Ribaddi was absent in Beyrut the people of Gubla wrote a municipal letter to the king. This is the third such letter from a community without any titular head, the others being from Irqata (159) and Tunip (170).

(193) People of GUBLA to the king. Tsumuri is captured and people of Gubla are slain. Ask for 240 men, 30 chariots, and 600 people of Kashi to defend Gubla. Biwari, the "gate-keeper" of the king, is killed. Pakhamnata will not listen. There is no food or grain for the troops . . . names Yankhama. (W. 97; B.O.D. 24.)
This letter, though clearly addressed from the people of Gubla, yet lapses into personal expressions, "they will kill me," "I am anxious," and "Pakhamnata did not listen to me," showing probably the habit of the scribe in writing for Ribaddi and other officers. But the sequence of the letters points to Ribaddi being in Beyrut when this was written, and so agrees to its being a municipal letter as it is headed.

(194) [RIBADDI to the king.] Names Gubla; desires if no troops come that ships be sent to bring him alive to the king. Names Biwari.

(195) RIBADDI to AMANAPPA. Asks why A, does not come with troops and take the land of Amurri. Amurri have a fort and no longer belong to Abdashirta; they hope day and night for troops that they may fall
on Aziri. All the governors hate Abddashirta since he ordered people of Ammiya to slay their master and join the Khabiri. R. asks A. to tell all this to the king. A. knew R. and his fidelity in Tsumura.

(W. 57; S.B.A. xv. 355.)

(196) RIBADDI to KHAIAPA. Why delay in telling the king to occupy Tsumura? Abdashirta is a dog, and the king’s land goes to him to save its life, being endangered by the Khabiri. Send 50 yoke of horses and 200 men, and hold him in check at Shigata till troops come; thus prevent him bringing Khabiri to take Shigata and Ambi.

(W. 54; R.P. xviii. 56.)

From these letters we see that the people of Amurri were not satisfied with Abdashirta’s advance, that there was a party against him, and that Ribaddi hoped to use that party for his overthrow. Aziri’s conquests and promotion of treachery had put all the other chiefs in fear, and a good body of opponents might be raised to attack him. This gleam of Egyptian hopes would be about the 12th year of Akhenaten; and it may be some success at that time which is signalized by the captives of Kharu in the tomb of Huya, No. 1 at Tell el Amarna, dated in the 12th year.
29. Ribaddi in Gubla.

Next we find that Ribaddi went back from Beyrut to Gubla in order to face Aziri in his attack on Shigata and Gubla.

(197) RIBADDI to [KHAIAAPA?] Now that K. has entered Bit-tiri all is over. When K. wrote to R. to go and occupy Tsumur, K. should know that the enemy are too strong for R. Ambi is hostile; and the deputy and city governors are in alliance with Abdashirta's sons. R. cannot march.

(W. 82; B.O.D. 23.)

This Beit Tiri to which Khaiapa retreated seems to be probably the ruin of Et Tireh on the foot of the hills behind Akka. It seems as if, despairing of holding out against Aziri, the Egyptian officer had retreated southward, and taken up a position outside Akka on the hills, where he could command the approaches to that city.

(198) ARA . . . of Kumidi to the king. Let the king enquire of Khamashni about A., who sent his son to Egypt to bring oil from the king.

(W. 141.)

This refers to some native ruler of Kumidi apparently, where also was an Egyptian officer, Bikhura, as we see in the next.
RIBADDI to the king. Abdashirta long afflicted R., who wrote to king's father for troops to rescue the land. Aziru has assembled Khabiri against Gubla. . . . Appeals to Yankhama as witness. Names Paya— . . . “they did not listen to the words of Khaib, their father.” Khaib has delivered over Tsumura; and let not the king overlook the killing of the deputy. If help does not come Bikhura will be unable to hold Kumidi. . . . (W. 94; B.O.D. 18.)

This letter shows that Ribaddi, as well as Akizzi (letter 111) had written to Amenhotep III. about Aziru’s hostility. In the next two letters we see that Bikhura, not being able to hold Kumidi, had yielded it up and joined the enemy. He had been originally the Egyptian deputy or officer, who was appealed to by Itakama for help (letter 114).

RIBADDI to the king. An unexampled deed has been done against Gubla. Bikhura has sent Shuti men, who have slain the Shirdanu. When the king writes “Protect yourself,” with whom is R. to protect himself? Send back the three people whom Bikhura sent to Egypt, namely Abdirama, Natan - Addu, and Abdmilki. (W. 77; B.O.D. 20.)
RIBADDI to the king. If king commands R. to defend the city, send provision and troops, for there are none. Pakhura has committed a great sin against R. by sending Shuti men and slaying the Shirdani, and sending three men to Egypt. Since then the city has rebelled against R.

(W. 100; R.P. xviii. 66.)

These letters show the use of auxiliaries by the Egyptians, the garrison of Gubla being of Shirdani, who, we know, are shown so frequently on the Egyptian monuments of the Ramesside times, and who were doubtless a Mediterranean people. Their greater vigour above the Egyptians is shown in the large camp scene on the pylon of the Ramesseum, where two of them are actively practising combat in the camp. The Shuti, whom the Egyptian deputy sent to attack them, were Sati or Bedawin, who were the Syrian auxiliaries when not enemies of the Egyptians. As we afterwards find this deputy acting faithfully in the southern campaign (letters 241, 254, 255) it seems that either he misunderstood Ribaddi or else the Shuti were acting irresponsibly and without his authority.
Sorry, this page is unavailable to Free Members

You may continue reading on the following page

Upgrade your Forgotten Books Membership to view this page now with our 7 DAY FREE TRIAL

Start Free Trial

Memberships can be cancelled at anytime
These two letters were evidently written closely together, and just after the letters 200, 201, which were written in the first excitement of the slaughter of the Shirdana. The difficulties of famine, owing to the close siege, were being felt, and the neighbouring port of Yarimuta or Ramitha (Laodicea) being yet open, all valuable things—even the houses and the children—were being sold there for food. Ribaddi was feeling how hopeless it was to make head against Abdashirta, or even to get outside the gate of the city. So his favourite simile, of being like a bird caught in a net, is often used. The Bêt Ninib named here must have been near Gubla, and so cannot be the same as the Bêt Ninib which was near Jerusalem (letter 256).

The next letter seems to show increased destitution.

(204) RIBADDI to the king. R. has written similarly to the king, but was not attended to. Three years have passed, for two years grain was grown, now there is none. Sons, daughters, and [timber] of houses are no more, sold to Yarimuta for food. Let king
send grain in ships, and 400 men and 30 yoke of horses to Zu . . . to guard city. Perhaps Yankhamu will be against giving corn. Yapa-Addu has taken money, x is with king, ask him about it. Corn formerly given to Tsumura let them give to Gubla. Asks for troops. Land is at disposal of Yankhamu, . . . he has taken money for children . . . to Yarimuta. King of Tana (?) or Tashu) has marched against Tsumura, and was coming against Gubla, but turned back for lack of water. If any prince would join, R. would drive Abdashirta out of Amurri. Since the king’s father (Amenhotep III.) left Tsiduna lands have fallen to the Khabiri. Names Mutshi (?) Milkuru (?) handmaid of Ba’alat of Gubla.

(W. 69; R.P. xviii. 67.)

The king of Tana probably came from the south of Tsumura, as he was going on thence north to Gubla. Possibly we may see in this name the district of Denniyeh inland from Tripolis. It seems that Ribaddi still kept up the hope of defeating Abdashirta if only he could get some assistance. Here is again a reference to the troubles beginning at the retirement of Amenhotep III. The next two letters also refer to the famine.
(205) RIBADDI to the king. R. is ordered to defend his city, but with whom shall he defend it? Formerly a garrison was there, and corn was sent from Yarimuta. But now Aziru has raided everything. Other princes hold their towns, but R.'s towns all belong to Aziru. "What dogs the sons of Abdashirta are! And they act according to their heart's wish and cause the king's cities to go up in smoke."

(W. 76; B.O.D. 19.)

(206) RIBADDI to AMANAPPA. Names Amurri and Mitani: desires A. to speak to Yankhamu. For three years no grain, and all is sold to Yarimuta.

(W. 66 and 90; B.O.D. 21.)

The difficulties yet increased, and the famine is even not noticed in the midst of more urgent perils.

(207) RIBADDI to the king. Since Amanappa came all the Khabiri have been set against R. by Abdashirta. R. asks for troops. Abdashirta has the city of Mar . . . and the foe is striving to take Gubla and Biruna, and all will fall to the Khabiri. Two cities left to R. they strive for, and R. is shut up in Gubla as a bird in a net.

(W. 60.)
This letter shows, like some earlier ones, that the wilder enemies of the Egyptians, such as the Khabiri, Suti, &c., had been their allies in the times of peace.

Domestic treachery now began to work, and Rabimur, who was formerly faithful (letters 187, 188), now began to give up hope of Egyptian help and to turn to Aziru.

(208) RIBADDI to the king. R. sent messenger to the court, but he returned empty without any troops. R. went to Khamuniri (Amunira), and his brother occupied Gubla in order to deliver it to Abdashirta's sons. And if no garrison is sent his brother will be hostile, and drive R. out of the city. R. cannot come to Egypt. Old age and disease press upon him. R. sent his son to the king and begs for troops for Gubla, which he still guards for the king, though his brother is inciting it to turn to the sons of Abdashirta. Let the king not neglect his city, for there is much silver and gold in it, and property in the temples. Let R. be allowed to live in Buruzilim. R. will go to Khamuniri if Gubla is lost; and he fears A.'s sons will attack Buruzilim if he goes to Khamuniri. R. now sends his son. (W. 71; R.P. xviii. 70.)
This Khamuniri or Amunira was, as we see in letters 216-218, the Egyptian governor of Beyrut, to whom Ribaddi was soon obliged to flee for refuge.

(209) RIBADDI to AMANAPPA. Asks for 300 men to defend Gubla and to occupy Biruna, so that people may abandon Abdashirta. (W. 68.)

(210) RIBADDI to the king. Aziru has taken all but Gubla. (W. 103.)

This taking of all the cities evidently does not include Beyrut, because that did not belong to Ribaddi, but was under its Egyptian governor, Amunira; but all of Ribaddi's cities were lost except Gubla. At last he fled to Beyrut for shelter, though he finally managed to get back to Gubla, and there he disappeared from sight.

30. Ribaddi in Beyrut.

We read from Beyrut:—

(211) RIBADDI to the king. Abdashirta has taken all but two cities. In Gubla he was like a bird caught in a net, and he is now in Biruna. (W. 62.)

(212) RIBADDI to the king. Only Biruna is left. Names the Khatti. Namiawaza fears the king. Names prince of Kumidi. (W. 63.)
Hence we see that in Beyrut he had some news through from the inland district. The letter is too much mutilated to follow the sense; but it seems as if the faithful Namiawaza was still at large, and Beyrut was not closely pressed. Another letter much mutilated belongs perhaps to some earlier period.

(213) *x* to the king. Tashu . . . is hostile . . . servants of my lord of Rukhizi. A messenger of the king has said, "In Mitani . . . and three or four kings treat as hostile (?) . . . king of Khatti." . . .

(W. 136.)

Rukhizi was the city of Arzawayya or Arzauiya (letter 112), and is named with Dasha, who is probably the same as Tashu of this letter. Rukhizi is otherwise called Mikhiza in letter 75. This letter may perhaps best come in with the other late mention of that district in letter 212.

(214) *x* [RIBADDI?] to the king. *y* [Rabimur (?), see 208] has taken Gubla. Let Yankhamu be asked. Abdashirta has come against *x*. Asks for 100 men and people from Kash and 30 chariots to defend the city until the king occupies Amurri. (W. 137.)
These fragments seem to belong to about this period, as Gubla had been lost to the opposite party when they were written. Of the Zukhluti we know no more; they may have been a class of auxiliaries.

We get more detail of Ribaddi's flight to Beyrut in the next three letters, which supplement the brevity of letters 211, 212.

(216) RIBADDI to the king. The men of Gubla and R.'s house and wife say, "Attach yourself to Abdashirta's son so that we may all have peace." But R. did not consent, but often asked for more troops. No message was returned, so R. went to treat with Ammunira, and establish friendship; but when he returned they had closed his house against him. R. is still steadfast, but if the king will not help he is a dead man. Two boys and two women of his have been given to the rebel.

(W. 96; S.B.A. xv. 362.)

Two earlier letters may be quoted here to show the position of Amunira. It should
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seems, however, that Beyrut was even in more danger than Gubla, for the next news that we have is of Beyrut being taken, and the return flight of Ribaddi to Gubla, which he seems to have been able to re-enter safely, though he could hold it for but little longer.

31. Ribaddi in Gubla.

(221) RIBADDI to the king. Names Amma (=Ammia). Abdashirta is a dog, and attacked R., and has now taken Biruna, and will come against R. Shuarbi is the gate of Gubla. If the king will march A. will leave the gate, but R. cannot now get out of the door. If the king does not send troops Gubla will fall into A.'s hands, and all the king's lands as far as Mitsri (Egypt) will fall into the hands of the Khabiri. Behold, Gubla is not like other towns, Gubla is the faithful city.

(W. 65; B.O.D. 17.)

These statements of Beyrut being lost, of the close siege of Gubla, of its fall entailing the loss of all the coast down to Egypt, and of Gubla being the faithful city, all show that this is one of the last letters from North Syria.
(222) RIBADDI to the king. Hostility strong, no provisions, and people will desert to Abdashirta’s sons, and Ziduna and Biruta are hostile. Let the king occupy them that x may not have to yield his city. When the people withdraw the Khabiri will occupy it . . . Yankhami . . . (W. 92.)

(223) RIBADDI to the king. Names Tsumura. y has taken Gubla . . . “(for) 1000 of silver and 100 of gold he will depart from me, and he has taken all my cities, Gubla alone is left to me, and they are seeking to capture it, for I hear that he has united all the Khabiri” . . . . (W. 102.)


(225) RIBADDI to AMANABBI. Why has A. told R. to send messenger to receive men and chariots from Egypt? R. did so, but messenger returned alone. And Biruna has fallen to y and the Khabiri, although troops were there. And the enemy do not depart from the gate of Gubla . . . (W. 67.)

These four letters end the history of northern Syria. Ribaddi flying from one city to another to animate the Egyptian resistance was at last reduced to Gubla
alone, and then he disappears altogether. Whether he succeeded in fleeing down to Egypt, or capitulated to his foe Aziru, or was killed by treachery or capture, we have no light. His resistance was faithful and hardy; and the Egyptian yoke cannot have been very oppressive to Syria when a native would be thus faithful in the face of the greatest discouragement and opposition. The Egyptian power had finally departed from northern Syria after several generations of dominion, and there is only left the south Syrian war, where we shall see several of the former actors again continuing their support of the waning power of Egypt.
CHAPTER IV.

THE SOUTH SYRIAN WAR

32. Abdkhiba in Trouble.

One of the earliest letters of the troubles in Palestine is that from Baiya, who was son of Gulati, and whom we afterwards find seizing Gezer and Rabbath (letter 260), and taking prisoners (letter 261). In the peaceful times he had sent reports of fidelity to the king (letter 100).

(226) BAIYA to the king. If Yankhamu does not come this year the Khabiri will seize the country. (W. 230; B.O.D. 60.)

But the main series of letters from Palestine is that from Abdkhiba king of Jerusalem. These show that Jerusalem was not merely a Jebusite village made into a capital by David and Solomon, but was the capital of south Palestine from early times. From the accounts of the state of Palestine at the Israelite invasion it appears
that the Amorites held most of the country, but this was apparently not the case in the
XVIIIth Dynasty. On the contrary, they are never mentioned in the south at all. But the Israelites found Amorites in possession of Jerusalem, Hebron, Yarmuth, Lachish, and Eglon (Josh. x. 5). This southern extension of the Amorite power is probably the direct consequence of the rise of Aziru, the chief of the Amorites, who carried their rule from the land of Amurri, or the Lower Orontes, down to Gish in Galilee early in the present war (letter 116); and then seems to have spread on southward, until by the time of the Israelite invasion the Amorites were paramount in the whole of Palestine. This also accords with the prominence of the Amar in the triumphs of Ramessu III. on the walls of Medinet Habu, though he does not seem to have at all touched northern Syria. Apparently the earliest letter of Abdikhiba refers to trouble already arising.

(227) ABDKHIBA to the king. A. has been slandered, and accused of revolt. He was not an hereditary chief, but was set up by the king. He is slandered because he reproached the king's officer with favouring
the Khabiri. Yankhamu has taken away a garrison. Cities of the king under Ili-milki have fallen away. A. continually tells the officers that all the dependent princes will be lost. Let troops be sent, for the king has no longer any territory, the Khabiri have wasted all. “To the scribe of my lord the king—Abdkhiba your servant. Bring aloud before my lord the king the words, ‘The whole territory of my lord, the king, is going to ruin.’”

(W. 179; R.P. xvii. 66.)

This last injunction to the scribe, to impress the facts on the king, is curious; it bears such a stamp of sincerity that it seems alive in its earnestness even now.

33. Milkili and allies doubtful.

This Ili-milki or Milk-ili wrote also to Egypt to clear his character.

(228) MILKILI to the king. Hostility against M. is powerful, and against Shuwardata. Let the king rescue the land from the Khabiri, or send chariots to remove the loyal. Names Yankhama.

(W. 170; R.P. xvii. 80.)

(229) MILKILI to the king. Yankhamu has taken 3(?)000 talents from M., and demanded his wife and sons that Y. might
kill them. M. asks for chariots to bring him and family to the court.

(W. 171; B.O.D. 62.)

Here we see much what appeared in the north, several parties, Abdkhiba, Yankhamu, and Milkili, all acting in professed subjection to Egypt, but all quarrelling for their own success. The amount of 3000 talents seized by Yankhamu seems prodigious, and is most likely an error or exaggeration.

Shuwardata, mentioned above as an ally of Milkili, also wrote to Egypt complaining of troubles.

(230) SHUWARDATA to the king. S. was invited to court, but cannot come. Yankhamu knows his troubles. Let the king rescue him. Thirty towns are hostile, and his enemies are powerful. (W. 166.)

Of another ally of Milkili, his son-in-law Tagi, an early letter belongs to the beginning of the troubles, when a brother was wounded. Letters, during the peace, were received from Tagi (80, 81).

(231) TAGI to the king. T.'s brother has been wounded, so T. does not wait to send his letter with his brother's. T. is now trying to send caravans to Egypt.

(W. 189; B.O.D. 70.)
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ABDKHIBA to the king. A. has been slandered, but protests his fidelity. When Shuta, the officer, came, A. sent 21 women and 20 men as a present to the king. Land of Shiri, as far as Ginti-kirmil, its princes are wholly lost, and fight against A. So long as ships were on the sea the king occupied land of Nakhrima and land of Kash. Now the Khabiri occupy the cities. Not one prince remains, all are ruined. Turbatsu was slain at the gate of Zilu. Servants conspire against Zimrida, of Lakisi. Yapti-Addi was slain at the gate of Zilu. Asks for troops to Urusalim, or all will be lost. If not, desires to be fetched away with his brothers, to the king. 

"To the scribe of my lord the king,—Abdkhiba, your servant. At your feet I fall. Bring these words plainly before my lord, the king; ‘I am your faithful servant.’"

(W. 181; R.P. xvii. 68.)

This letter shows that not only the west, but the north of Jerusalem was lost. The land of Shiri, as far as Ginti-kirmil, is Shaaraim (Heb.), Khurbet es Sairah, which is four miles nearer Jerusalem than En Gannim (Heb.), Khurbet Umm Jina, and these “gardens” would naturally be vineyards—kirmil—as it is in the Vale of Sorek, “the choice vine.” Then Zilu,
where two chiefs, Turbatsu and Yapti-Addi, were slain, is Zelah (Heb.) north of Jerusalem.

(235) ABI . . . . to the general. Shipti-Addi and Zimrida demand the city; and Shipti-Addi spoke to Zimrida about Abishiarami. (W. 219.)

This is the celebrated tablet found at Tell Hesy; and from its locality it doubtless refers to Zimrida, of Lachish, and not to Zimrida of Sidon. The other mention of Shipti-Addi is in letter 56, with Yankhamu; but the latter appears in both northern and southern letters, so that proves nothing as to place.

35. Fighting round Gezer.

We next turn to the prince of Gezer, west of Jerusalem, close to Ginti.

(236) YAPAKHI to the king. Y. is obedient, but has suffered from the Suti people. (W. 206; B.O.D. 51.)

(237) YAPAKHI of Gazri to the king. Y.'s youngest brother rebels, and occupied Mu . . khazi, allied with the Khabiri, who are now hostile to Y. Asks for instructions. (W. 205; B.O.D. 50.)
(238) YAPAKHI of Gazri to the king. Asks for help, as the Khabiri are powerful, and let the king rescue him from the Khabiri.

(W. 204; B.O.D. 49.)

We have seen before some mention of Lapaya, who in letter 232 seems to have been faithful. He fell into trouble, however, as follows:

(239) LAPAYA to the king. The city was taken by an attack of the enemy, although in peace, and with an officer of the king with L.

(W. 162; B.O.D. 61.)

The next, however, shows that he found it expedient to join the enemy, and that his next affair was attacking Yapakhi of Gezer.

(240) LAPAYA to the king. L. is a faithful servant, and has been slandered. Is it an offence that he has entered Gazri, and levied the people? Let the king take all that L. and Milkili have, and then decide between him and M. King wrote asking if Dummuia had joined the Khabiri. L. had handed him to Adda-Dan. If king demanded L.'s wife he would send her; or if he ordered L. to run a sword of bronze into his heart he would do it.

(W. 163; R.P. xvii. 78.)

Here we see that Lapaya was against Gezer, and also against Milkili. This seems
to be another three-cornered fight, in which no one quite knew who sided with Egypt. Another letter has lost the name, but belongs to this time.

(241) \( x \) to king. \( Y. \) has taken silver, people, sheep, and everything from the cities the king gave to \( x \), who writes through Pakhura. Names Tagi and Lapaya. (W. 191.)

Pakhura was at first in North Galilee, but he appears later on at Gaza. This shift southward, like the shift of the activities of Yankhamu from north to south, is important as showing that the southern war was not simultaneous with the northern, and probably, therefore, succeeded it.

36. Fighting round Megiddo.

After Lapaya rebelled in the south, at Gezer, he seems to have gone up through Samaria to Megiddo. First we have a letter from the chief of Megiddo early in the affair.

(242) BIRIDIYA of Makidda to the king. Sent 30 cattle, but is attacked by some one. (W. 194.)

(243) BIRIDIYA to the king. Two sons of Lapaya gave money to the Khabiri. (W. 192.)
(244) BIRIDIYA to the king. Since the troops left, Lapaya has attacked B., who cannot go out of Magidda. Let the king rescue his city, that Lapaya may not take it.

(W. 195; R.P. xvii. 81.)

(245) BIRIDIYA to the king. B. is defending Makida, but powerful is the enmity of the Khabiri.

(W. 193; R.P. xvii. 82.)

The following may probably be also from Biridiya, but it is only a second tablet of a letter, and the beginning cannot now be identified.

(246) x to the king. x agreed with his brothers to capture Lapaya and bring him to the king, and go with Yashdata to Egypt, for Y. is loyal, and goes into battle with x. But Zurata took Lapaya out of Magidda and said to x that Z. would send him by ship to the king. But Zurata sent him home from Khinatuna and took the money for his ransom. Zurata also let Addumikhir go.

(W. 196; B.O.D. 72.)

(247) YARTA or YASHDATA to the king. People of Takh. . . . have raided the cattle of Y. who is with Biridiya.

(W. 197; B.O.D. 59.)

This shows that Zurata or Surata of Akka (letter 119) was interfering, professedly in Egyptian interests, but really for his own
profit: Akka being a port, he naturally proposed to send Lapaya by sea. His taking him over the Jordan to Kanawat to release him, is in accord with Shutatna son of Sharatu of Akkaui seizing the caravan from Burnaburyash in Kanawat (letter 124). The princes of Akka seem to have ruled the country across to Bashan. The writer of 246 may be read as Yashda . . . and is probably the Yashdata of the previous letter. The people of Takh . . . may be of Takhida, where Ribaddi's messenger was stopped on the way from Beyrut to Egypt. If so it must be looked for near Megiddo.

37. Shuwardata in Trouble.

We now turn to Shuwardata, who we have seen was an Egyptian ally (letter 230), and was being attacked by the Khabiri (letter 228).

(248) SHUWARDATA to the king. S. asks for troops to rescue him. (W. 199.)
(249) SHUWARDATA to the king. S. in trouble, wants troops. (W. 201.)
(250) SHUWARDATA to the king. The country rebels and Qilti is lost. (W. 167.)
(251) SHUWARDATA to the king. King ordered S. to war against Qilti. He has done so, and is successful. Why did Abdkiba write to Qiltites saying, "Take money and join me"? Let the king know that Abdkhiba has taken the city of S. Lapaya is dead who took cities of S. Lapaya was allied with Abdkhiba, and robbed cities of S.

(W. 165; S.B.A. xi. 348; R.P. xvii. 77.)

This last letter must then be after letters 240–246, describing Lapaya's warfare.

Of a chief in northern Palestine we obtain a little light.

(252) . . . .WARZANA prince of Khazi to the king. Prince of Tushulti has attacked him. Khabiri are taking cities, including Makhzi . . . ti, and burnt it. Khabiri betake themselves to Amankhatbi. Khabiri have occupied Sigi . . . and burnt it. The house of Bil-garib (?) is rescued; . . . . rescued from the city of Mati . . . Ushtiru is taken by the Khabiri and burnt; and they will occupy Khazi. Amankhatbi is an enemy. (W. 134; R.P. xvii. 85.)

(253) x to king, from city of Tu . . . Khabiri are enemies. Names Amankhatbi, and city of Khabi. . . . (W. 135.)
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are delivering land to the Khabiri. A. is innocent about the Kashi (who seem to have been plundering). Until the officer Pauru went to Urusalim Adaya was in revolt. Caravans of the king were robbed at Yaluna, so A. cannot forward them. “To the scribe of my lord the king,—Abdkhiba your servant. At your feet I fall. I am your servant. Bring plainly before my lord, the king, these words, ‘I am an officer of the king.’ May you be very well.” And if A. has done evil to the Kashi, let A. be killed.

(W. 180; R.P. xvii. 74.)

This letter has the curious little postscript to the scribe, like most of his other letters; and it seems as if the cuneiform scribe at Tell el Amarna were a personal friend of Abdkhiba’s, and was expected to specially interfere on his behalf with the king.

(255) ABDKHIBA to the king. Milkili has revolted to the sons of Lapaya, and the sons of Arzawa. Milkili and Tagi have taken Rubuta. Puuru is in Khazati. Let Yan-khamu be sent. “To the king’s scribe,—Abdkhiba your servant. Bring the message plainly before the king. May it be very well indeed with you. I am your servant.” (W. 182; R.P. xvii. 71.)
(256) ABDKHIBA to the king. Milkili and Shuwardata have taken soldiers of Gazri, Gimti, Qilti, and occupied the region of Rubuti. Men of Qilti have taken Bit-Ninib belonging to Urusalim. If no troops are sent the land will belong to the Khabiri.

(W. 183; R.P. xvii. 72.)

These last three letters of Abdkhiba close his correspondence. We see how he was hemmed in more and more. Gezer, Ashkelon, and Lachish lost; the caravans plundered at Ayalon; then Gimzu, joining the enemy in taking Rabbath; and a town by Jerusalem taken. Arzawa named here is probably Arzawaya, formerly of Mikhizi by Hermon. Puuru, who was the officer in Gaza, is most likely Pakhura, or Bikhura, who was the officer in northern Palestine somewhat earlier. It seems likely that Abdkhiba himself joined the Khabiri at last, as most of these princes and chiefs did when they saw that help could not be had from Egypt.

39. Loss of South Palestine.

The following letter is from a man otherwise unknown.

(257) ADDU-ASHARÍDU to the king. Two sons of Lapaya rebel, and desired A. to
join against men of Gina, who killed their father, or else they would fight him. Asks that an officer be sent to Namyawaza to order him to fight the sons of Lapaya. They ask A. to fight the king, as Lapaya did when he besieged Shuna . . , and Bur . . . . , and Kharabu, and Gitirimu . . . Messengers of Milkili also stir rebellion.

(W. 164; R.P. xvii. 83.)

The places named here seem to belong to the Joppa region; Shuna . . . may be Shunama; Kharabu, El Khurab; and Gitirimu . . . Gathrimmon. This shows that Lapaya probably took Joppa. We see here how Namyawaza has drifted down into the southern seat of war, like so many other of the northern fighters, Arzawayia, Pakhura, Maia, Rianappa, &c. This shows that the southern war succeeded the northern, and was, probably, a sort of running fight from the Orontes down to the Egyptian frontier.

Addu-daian was another personage about this time, but his locality is unknown.

(258) [ADDU]DAIAN to the king. Defends his city. (W. 275.)

(259) ADDU-DAIAN to the king. Names Yâb . . . . and Kaya . . . . ; asks for 30 men, and will come to Egypt. (W. 240.)
ADDU-DAIAN to the king. Ordered to defend his city and will do so. City of Tumurka rebels, and A. has fortified Mankhatishum; but Maya has taken it from him, and put officer in it. Asks for order to Rianap to return it to A. Biia, son of Gulati, has taken Gazri and Rubuti. Ransoms to x were 30 of silver, to Biia 100 of gold. (W. 239; M.A.F. vi. 279.)

x to the king. Biia son of Gulati took brothers of x, whom x had sent to serve in Yapu. If x is ordered to give his city to Biia he would do so, and go to serve the king. (W. 178; B.O.D. 71.)

From these letters it seems that Addudaian was in the south of Judea. He names Gezer and Rabbah. Tumurka is probably Tumrah, near Gaza; and Mankhatishum seems from its length to be a compound name, including that of the Wady Menakh, near Gezer. Biia was formerly an Egyptian subject, as in letter 100, where he obeys Maya, and letter 226.

x to the king. Magdalim and Kuatsbat are hostile; and Abbikha heads an insurrection. (W. 281; B.O.D. 73.)

This city of Kuatsbat seems to be Cozeba of the Hebrew, now Kueiziba, near Halhul.
This Magdalim must be a fortress, or tower, in the Judean hills; but the name does not seem to have survived like the Magdala in the north.

(263) DAGANTAKALA to the king. Asks for rescue from the Khabiri and the Shuti.

(W. 216; B.O.D. 47.)

(264) DAGANTAKALA to the king. Father and grandfather were obedient, and D. will listen and obey. (W. 215; S.B.A. xiii. 327.)

This chief seems to have been in the south Palestine land, as the name of the god Dagon is used by him. Beth Dagon—now Dajun—is six miles S.E. of Joppa, and Dagon was worshipped at Gaza and Ashdod.

(265) NINUR to the king. Land has fallen away to the Khabiri, who have sent to Aialuna, and to Tsarkha . . . . two sons of Milkili . . . .

(W. 173.)

(266) [NINUR] to the king. Asks to be rescued from the Khabiri. City of Tsapuna has been captured.

(W. 174.)

The latter letter is doubtless from Ninur, as in both she addresses the king as his handmaid, and writes in the same style.

The district of this queen was evidently
in Judea, as she names Milkili, and the attack on Ayalon and Zorah, in the hills, half-way from Jerusalem to the coast. The city of Tsapuna is therefore somewhere near there, and Siffin, north of Ayalon, seems to correspond to this. It is certain that these letters must come late in the history, as the position of the Khabiri corresponds to that of the last of Abdkhiba's letters.

This last letter may belong to Galilee, but cannot be safely placed.

(267) \( x \) to king. Brother of \( x \) has rebelled in Tubikhi, and gone to besiege cities . . . . . lands of Amurri . . . . garrisons all gone . . . . . towns belong to Khabiri. Asks for help to retake Tubikhi. (W. 127.)

40. In taking a general view of these letters, and the history that they show, we may notice some broad conclusions. The gradually shifting of the peoples down Syria southward is regular and clear. In the early part of these letters the Khita, Hittites, are in the far north, and only one or two princes have established themselves southward. The Hittite prince who went farthest south at that time was that on the mountains of Igaid (letter 1) in the Lebanon; having come
from the mountainous north it was natural that he should not do like other Syrians and settle in the fertile low plains, but rather on the colder mountain range. Then later the Khatti in general came south with Aziri, and plundered on the coast around Gubla (letter 152). After this age, about 1375 B.C., the Khatti advanced so that their chief city was Qedesh by Lake Homs, and Ramessu II. only obtained a frontier just beyond Beyrut by his fighting with them.

The Amurri, Amar, or Amorites show a similar and more complete shifting southward. Originally from the references here we glean that Amurri or Martu was the main part of the Orontes valley. Then in the time of Ramessu II. they appear side by side with the Khita at Qedesh. Later, the war of Ramessu III., which was mainly in southern Syria, found the Amar throughout that region. Lastly, when the Hebrews came in they found the “five kings of the Amorites” in Judea from Jerusalem down to Hebron and Eglon in the south. Here there is a continuous advance, and this is of value as bearing on the date of the Hebrew invasion. Had it been anywhere before Ramessu II. we ought not to find Amorites
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established me over my father’s territory.” At first sight this would seem to be merely a statement of his being the king’s nominee. But the writer of the Epistle to the Hebrews had some document containing a similar statement about another king of Jerusalem, Melkizedek, being “without father, without mother, without genealogy.” (Heb. vii. 3.) Such cannot be a coincidence when it concerns two kings of the same city, and is a phrase never known elsewhere. We must recognize in it a formula familiar at Jerusalem, and so quoted by Abdkhiba in referring his elevation to the king. It is the formula of an elective rule distinctly, and shows that the main city of south Palestine for several centuries was familiar with elected chiefs.

(5) Municipal government. This occurs in three cities. Irqata addresses a letter from “Irqata and its elders” (letter 159). Dunip also addresses the king as “The inhabitants of Dunip” (letter 170). And in the absence of Ribaddi, Gubla managed its own affairs and wrote from “The people who are occupying (?) Gubla” (letter 193). With these we may compare the deputation from “the elders and all the inhabitants”
of Gibeon. (Josh. ix. 11.) It is clear that though government by chiefs was the more usual, yet municipalities existed under the Egyptian rule, much as they did in Asia Minor and other countries under Roman rule.
42. BIOGRAPHICAL OUTLINES OF PRINCIPAL PERSONS

Abdashirta (B), Abdashirta’s sons (S), and Aziri (Z), all linked together.

Z attacked Qatna and threatened Nukhashi. 111
Z troops used by Arzawaya to take Shaddu. 116
B makes obeisance 127
Z sends slaves as messengers 128
Z reports peace to Dudu 130
Z reports overtures to him from Nukhashi 131
B attacks Ribaddi 140, 142
B sends tribute 143
B dictates terms 144
B ordered by king 147
Z refuses to leave, as Khatti are in Nukhashi 148, 149, 150
B attacks Ribaddi 151, 154, 186, 202, 216
Z ties up Ribaddi’s trade 152
Ribaddi desires to attack Z, S 155
S ally with Mitani, Kash, and Khita 156, 162
B allies with Yapa-addi and Zimrida 157
S descend into Amurri 158
Z takes Ribaddi’s men and ships 161
Z allied with Yapa-addi 161, 165
Bumbula holds Ullaza to Shigata 162
B attacks Tsumura 164
Ships needed to attack B . . . 165
S ally with Arwada . . . 165
Z attacks Gubla . . . 167, 168
S resist Egyptians . . . 169
Z took Ni and threatens Tunip . . . 170
Z has nearly all the coast . . . 171, 188
B allies with Mitani, Kash, and Khabiri . . . 172
B takes Shigata, Ambi, and Tsumura . 172, 188
Z has not rebuilt Tsumura . . . 173
S delivered men to Suri . . . 175
Z leagued with Zimrida . . . 177, 178
Z attacks Namiawaza . . . 178
Z and S attack Abimilki . . . 184
Z leagued with Ammiya, Ardata, and Ni . . . 187
Z smites Irqata, Tsumur, and Ullaza . . . 188
Z excuses to king . . . 191
Z attacked by Nukhashi and betrayed by Khatib . . . 191
B excuses to king, will yield Tsumur . . . 192
Amurri rebels against B . . . 195, 209
B ordered insurrection in Ammiya . 195, 203
Z leagued with Khabiri . . . 199
B took all but Gubla and Biruna . . . 202, 211
B writes to Bit Ninib . . . 203
S burn the cities . . . 205
Z has raided all the land . . . 205, 210
S Gubla betrayed to, by Rabimur . . . 208
Z obtains Gubla . . . 220
B takes Biruta . . . 221, 222
ABDKHIBA accused of revolt . . . 227
(of ) attacked by Milkili . . . 232
Urusalim) at Urusalim . . . 233
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Action/Event</th>
<th>Page(s)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Abdkhiba</td>
<td>sends 41 slaves</td>
<td>234</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>attacks Shuwardata</td>
<td>251</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>caravans robbed</td>
<td>254</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>asks for Yankhamu</td>
<td>255</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>lost all but Urusalim</td>
<td>256</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Amanappa</td>
<td>demands sheep from Ribaddi</td>
<td>132</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>named by Ribaddi</td>
<td>134, 207</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>asked to deliver Ribaddi</td>
<td>142</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>complained to by Ribaddi</td>
<td>165, 225</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>in Tsumura</td>
<td>169</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>appealed to by Ribaddi</td>
<td>195, 203, 206, 209, (215), 225</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Itakama</td>
<td>attacked Amki</td>
<td>107, 108, 109, 188</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>against Akizzi</td>
<td>113</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>appeals to king</td>
<td>114</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>takes Gizza</td>
<td>116</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(?) allied with Amurra</td>
<td>147</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>took Qedesh</td>
<td>178</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>named by Rabimur</td>
<td>188</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Khai</td>
<td>sent to Burnaburyash</td>
<td>21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Khaya</td>
<td>goes to Mutzu</td>
<td>41</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Khaya</td>
<td>hostile to Abdashirta</td>
<td>144</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Khai</td>
<td>addressed by Aziru</td>
<td>149</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Khaya</td>
<td>ordered by king to Tsumur</td>
<td>166</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Khaib</td>
<td>desired by Ribaddi to take message</td>
<td>167, 169</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Khayapa</td>
<td>addressed by Ribaddi</td>
<td>196, 197</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Khaib</td>
<td>yielded up Tsumura</td>
<td>199</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Khaya</td>
<td>sends garrison to Urusalim</td>
<td>233</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(The above are all variants of the name of one commissioner.)
LAPAYA
escorts caravans (?) . . 40
named . . . . 232
Abdkhiba desires to save L. . 233
attacked and complains . . 239
excuses attack on Gazri . . 240
named with Tagi . . . . 241
sons join Khabiri . . . . 243
against Biridiya . . . . 244
taken out of Megiddo and let go . . 246
dead . . . . 241
sons joined Milkili as enemies . 254, 255
sons defiant with Milkili . . . . 257

MILKILI
sends tribute . . . . 83
writes to king . . . . 82, 84
perverts king’s cities . . . . 227
and Shuwardata attacked . . . . 228
stripped by Yankhamu . . . . 229
attacks Abdkhiba . . . . 232
joins Tagi . . . . 248, 233, 255
allies with Lapaya, 240, 254, 255, 257
joins Shuwardata . . . . 256
sons named . . . . 265

NAMYAWAZA
attacks land of Itakama, (109?), 114
(holding reports to king with Khabiri
Kumidi) and Suti . . . . 115
fled to Damascus from Biridashyi . . . . 116
asks that Biridashyi be punished 117
Zatatna ordered to deliver B. to N. . . . . 122
attacked by Itakama and Aziri 178
NAMYAWAZA named by Ribaddi . . 212
asked for by Adduasharidu . 257

PUKHARI appealed to by Itakama . . 114
Bikhura king asked to send B. to Amurri . . . 155
" holds Kumidi . . (156) 199
" of Kumidi attacks Ribaddi . 200

Pakhura same . . . 201
" named . . . 241
Pauru saves Urusalim . . . 254
Puru went to Khazati . . . 255

(The above all act as Egyptian commissioner, and seem to be probably all variants of one name.)

RIBADDI ordered to supply sheep . . 132
named . . . 133, 147-8
ships seized by Yapa-addi . 134
will defend city . . . 139
defends against Abdashirta . 140
surrounded by Khabiri . . 141
ordered to send ships to Yarmuta . . . 142
(? asked Abdashirta to help him . . . 147
attacked by Abdashirta . . 151
stopped by Aziru . . . 152
names Tsumuri and Martu . 153
names Tsumuri and Abdashirta 154
desires king to occupy Amurri 155

(not in Tsumura)
(in Gubla) Tsumura in danger . . . 156
fears for Tsumura . . . 157
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Khaib yielded up Tsumura 199
attacked by Bikhura 200, 201
only has Gubla and Biruna girls and timber sold to Yarimuta 202
people sold to Yarimuta }
only Gubla and two cities left ) 3
in 3rd year no corn, asks for supplies given before ) 204
to Tsumura 206
Gubla and Biruta only left 207
Rabimur tried to drive R. from Gubla 208
asks for troops to Gubla and Biruta 209
all but Gubla lost. 210
(trapped in Gubla R. flees to Biruta 211
only Biruta left 212
Rabimur (?) has taken Gubla 214
family rebel in Gubla 216
reported by governor of Biruta 220
Biruta taken and Gubla will fall 221
Zidon and Biruta enemies 222
Gubla only left 223–4
enemy at gate of Gubla 225
YANKHAMU took Yabitiri to Egypt 101
(commissioner) with Shipti-addi 56
informed that S. Galilee is lost 118
asked by Ribaddi to occupy Amurri. 155
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>BIOGRAPHICAL OUTLINES</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>YANKHAMU</strong></td>
<td>asked to bring troops</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>ought to give corn</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>named by Ribaddi, 165, 169, 174, 190, 222</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>reproached for neglecting Tsu-mura</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>named by Gublites</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>gone to Egypt</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>can order corn for Ribaddi</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>to be spoken to by Amanappa</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>to be asked of by the king</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>to come, or all will be lost in South</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>took away a garrison</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>to be asked of by the king</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>took 3000 talents from Milkili</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>knows Shuwardata’s troubles</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>asked for by Abdkhiba</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>YAPA-ADDI</strong></td>
<td>blamed by Ribaddi</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>will explain Ribaddi’s affairs</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>fights Ribaddi</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>named</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>agrees with Abdashirta</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>„ „ Aziru.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>took money</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>ZIMRIDA N.</strong></td>
<td>gov. of Tsiduna</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>in Tsumura (?)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>gov. of Tsiduna</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>gone over to Abdashirta, 168, 157, 158, 174</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>gets news from Aziri</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
ZIMRIDA N. shuts up Abimilki in Tyre, 178 (179) 
fights Abimilki . . 181, 182 
takes Uzu. . . . 184 
ZIMRIDA S. obedient in Lachish . . 98 
conspired against in Lachish . 234 
demands service of Abishia- 
rami . . . 235?
The star shows a change of allegiance from Egypt to Syria.
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Abanappa, v. Amanappa  
Abbikha, * 262  
Abdaddi, 133, *  
Abdashirta, v. Biog. outline  
Abdirama, 200, *  
Abdkhiba, v. Biog. outline  
Abdmilki, 85, 200, *  
Abdna ... 102, *  
Abd Ninip, 164, *  
Abdtirshi, 70, *  
Abdurash, 110, *  
Abi . . . , 235, *  
Abimilki, 177 to 185  
Abishiarami, 235  
Adaia, * 254  
Addadan, 240, *  
Adduasharidu, 257, *  
Addudayan, 258, 259, 260,  
Addumikhir, 78, 79, 233, * 246  
Addu. . . ya, 110, *  
Aduna, 188, 189, *  
Akia, 40, *  
Akhithabu, 124, *  
Akhunmilki, * 184  
Akizzi, 111, 112, 113, 123,  
Amanappa, v. Biog. outline  
Amankhatbi, * 252, 253  
Amanma, 161, *  
Amayashi, 53, *  
Amunira, (?82), 186, 208, 216, 217, 218, (219), 220, *  
Amuraddi, 110, *  
Anati, 110, *  
Ara . . . . , 198, *  
Artamanya, 69, *  
Artashumra, 4, *  
Artatama, 11,*  
Ar Tishub, * 11  
Artsawiya (in N.), 75, 76, * 112, 116
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Arzaya (in S.), 77, 160, 255
Asali, 11
Ashur-nadin-akhi, 35
Ashur-uballith, 35
Asshutimi (omitted by W.; B. 27)
Ayab, 118
Aziri, v. Biog. outline

Babikha, 159
Baduza, 55
Baiaya, 100, 226, 260, 261
Balummi, 124
Batti-ilu, 110, 191
Bawanamash, 117
Benana, 110
Benazi, 133
Benili, 110
Benzidki, 110
Bidinaza, 123
Bii, v. Baiaya
Bikhura, 114, 199
Bilgarib, 252
Bilram . . . . , 30
Bininima, 118
Biri . . . . , 108
Biriamaza, 22
Biridashya, (108), 116, 117, (122?)

Biridiya, 242 to 247
Bishitanu, 160
Biwari, 193, 194
Bubri, 10, 11
Bukhiya, 164
Bumabula, 162
Buribita, 167
Burnaburyash, 18, 19, 20, 21, 22, 23, 124

Dagantakala, 263, 264
Dasha, 112
Dashirti, 147
Dashru, 47, 48
Diyati, 89
Dudu, 130, 131, 148
Dummuya, 240
Dushratta, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10, 11, 12

Gesdinna, 49
Gilukhipa, 4
Gilya, 4, 5, 6, 9, 11, 12
Gulati, 260, 261

Ildaya, 107
Ilkha . . . . , 138
Ilumilk, A, 178; B, 227
Inbauta, 103
Iribaiasha, 141
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Irshappa, 1,*</th>
<th>Maia, 97, 99, 100, 160,* 260</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Itilluna, 30,*</td>
<td>Mani, 5, 6, 7, 9, 10, 11, 12, 144,*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Mazipalali, 11,*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kallimasin, 13, 14, (15?), 16, 17,*</td>
<td>Mikhuni, 21,*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Karaindash, 19,*</td>
<td>Milkili, v. Biog. outline</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kasi, 14,*</td>
<td>Milkuru, 157, 204</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kaya . . . ., 259,*</td>
<td>Mutaddi, 118</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Khabi, * 184</td>
<td>Mutsh? (fem.), 157, 204</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Khai, Khaiapa, Khay,</td>
<td>Mutzu . . . 41</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Khamashi, 11, 12, 21,*</td>
<td>Natanaddu, 200</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Khamashni, 198,*</td>
<td>Nimmakhi, * 147</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Khamuniri, v. Amunira</td>
<td>Ninur (fem.), 265, 266.*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Khani. 7, 64, 147, 191,*</td>
<td>Nizag, 11,*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kharamashi, 6,</td>
<td>Nurtuya . . . ma, 176,*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Khatib, 148, 149, 150,*</td>
<td>Pakhamnata, 163,* 192, 193</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>155, 191</td>
<td>Pakhura, v. Biog. outline</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Khiziri, 99,*</td>
<td>Paluia, * 150,*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Khuaá, 21,*</td>
<td>Paluma, * 147</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kidinramman, 23,*</td>
<td>Pamakhlu, * 22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kunia, 30,*</td>
<td>Pashtummi, 30,*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kurigalzu, 20, 21,*</td>
<td>Pauru, v. Pakhura</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kuzuna, 126,*</td>
<td>Paya . . . ., 199</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lapaya, v. Biog. outline</td>
<td>Perizzi, 10, 11, 12,*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Liya, * 147</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lupakku, * 110</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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Pirkhi, *4
Puaddi, 72, 73, 74,*
Ramman-nirari, 36,*
Rabimur, 187, 188,*
(215), (220)
Rianapa, 72, 96, 260,*
Ribaddi, v. Biog. outline
Riqa, 13,*
Rusmania, 45,*

Shaba . . . . , 51,*
Shabi-ilu, 160,*
Shakhshikhashikha, 73
Sharatu, v. Zurata
Sharru, *147
Shatiya, 68,*
Shindishugab, 19,*
Shiptiaddi, 56, 57,* 235
Shipturi, 42,*
Shubandi, 63 to 67,
136,*
Shumadda, *124,* 125,
126
Shumukha . . . . , 52,*
Shuta, 16, 122, 234,*
Shutarna (king), 5, 11,*
Shutarna (governor), 37,
38,*
Shutatna, v. Zatatna
Shuwardata, 86, 87, 228,
230, 248 to 251,*
256
Subayadi, 137,*
Surashar, 50,*
Surata, v. Zurata
[Su]warzana, 252,*

Tadua, 118,*
Tadukhipa, 8, 9, 10, 11,*
Tagi, 80, 81, 231,* 232,
233, 241, 255
Takhamaini, 81,*
Takua, 36
Tarkhumdaraush, 1,*
Tashu . . . . , *213
Tiwati, *112
Tsalma, 21, 22,*
Tunip-ipri, 4,*
Turbazu, 234,*
Turbikhaza, 165,*
Tuya,* 147

Ushbarra, 30,*

Yab . . . . , 52, 259,*
Yabaia, 160
Yabitiri, 101,*
Yabni-ilu, 97,*
Yadiaddu, 170,*
Yakhzibaia, 104, 105,*
Yama, 135,*
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44. INDEX OF PLACES

Abitu, 116, *
Aduri, * 118
Akhlamu, 39
Akka, 119 to 122, *
Alashya, 26 to 34, 161, *
Ambi, * 162, 171, 172, 196, 197
Amki, * 107, 108, 109, 110, 118
Ammia, * 112, 187, 188, 195, 202, 203, 221
Amurra, 111, 128, 129, 130, 142, 144, * 147, 149, 153, 155, 158, *
161, 192, 195, 204, 206, 214, 220, 221
Araru, * 118
Ardata, * 162, 187, 188, 189
Arsapi; (omitted by W.; B. 10)
Arwada, 144, * 165, 184
Ashtarti, * 116, 118
Asqaluna, 90, 94, 95, *
254
Asshur, 35, *
Asshuraiu, 20, *
Ayaluna, * 254, 265
Azzati, 101, *
Bikhishi, * 118
Biruna, Biruta, 144, 151, 161, 186, 202, 207, 209, 211, 212, 217, 219, 220, *
221, 222, 224, 225
Bit-arti, 157, *
Bit-Ninib N., 203; * S., 256
Bit-tiri, * 197
Bur(qa?), * 257
Buruzilim, 208, *
Buzruna, 43, * 116
Danuna, 178, *
Dimashqa, 112, 116, *
Dubu, 61, *
Gadashuna, 106, *
INDEX OF PLACES

Gagaya, 13, *
Gar, * 118
Gazri, 77, 237, 238, *
  240, 254, 256, 260
Gimti, * 256
Gina, 257, *
Ginti-kirmil, * 233, 234
Giti-rimuna, * 257
Gizza, * 116
Gubbu, 61, *
Gubla, 147, 152, 156,
  158, 161, 163, 164, 167,
  168, 171, 186, 187, 188,
  189, 193, 194, 199, 202,
  203, 204, 207, 208, 209,
  210, 211, 214, 215, 216,
  220, 221, 223, 224, *
  225

Igaid, 1, *
Ikhibni (omitted by W.;
  B. 27)
Inishatsi(ri), 60, *
Irqata, 158, 159, 160, *
  188, 189

Karduniyash, 13, 39,
  41.*
Kashi, 156, 162, 172,
  193, * 214, 234, 254
Khabi . . . 253,*
Khabiri . . . in north, 114,
  115,* 116, 134, 136,
  138, 140, 141, 142, 145,
  155, 157, 163, 166, 172,
  175, 183, 189, 195, 196,
  199, 202, 203, 204, 207,
  221, 222, 223, 224, 225,
  226; in south, 227, 228,
  233, 234, 237, 238, 240,
  243, 245, 252, 253, 254,
  256, 263, 265, 266, 267
Khalunni, * 116
Khanigalbat, 6, 11, 13,
  35, 41,
Kharabu,* 257
Khashabu, 108,
Khasugari (omitted by
  W.; B. 10)
Khatat, * 170
Khatti, 1, 2, 3,* 4, 33,
  36, 107, 108, 109, 110,
  111, 112, 148, 149, 150,
  152, 156, 178, 188, 191,
  212, 213
Khawini,* 118
Khazati, 233, 255,*
Khazi, 107, 137, 252,*
Khazura, 70, 71, * 183
Khikubta, 164,*
Khinatuna,* 124,* 246
Kinianabi, * 118
Kinhaihu, 20,*
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Place</th>
<th>Pages</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Kinakhi</td>
<td>32, 40, 124, 147, 169, 178, 183</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kinanat</td>
<td>112</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kinza</td>
<td>* 107, 108, 109, 113</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kuatsbat</td>
<td>* 262</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kumidi</td>
<td>116, 156, 198, 199, (200, 201), 212</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Magdali</td>
<td>* 118, 262, (Egypt), 122</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Magidda</td>
<td>122, 242, 244, 245, 246, *</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Makhzi . . . ti</td>
<td>* 252</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mankhatishum</td>
<td>260, *</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Martu, v. Amurri</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mar . . . .</td>
<td>* 270</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mati . . . .</td>
<td>* 252</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mikhiza</td>
<td>75, *</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Milukha</td>
<td>155, 166, 175 *</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mishtu</td>
<td>* 118</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mitani (see 4 to 12),*</td>
<td>156, 162, 169, 172, 189, 206, 213, 224</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mu(ru ?)khazi</td>
<td>* 137</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mushikhuna</td>
<td>37, 38,</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nakhrima, *</td>
<td>189, 234</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Narima</td>
<td>* 188</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nazima</td>
<td>88, *</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ni, * 112, 170, 187</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nina, *</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nukhashi</td>
<td>36, 110, 111, 112, 131, 148, 149, 150, 173, 191, *</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Qanu, *</td>
<td>44,</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Qatna</td>
<td>111, 112, *</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Qideshu</td>
<td>114, * 147, 178</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Qilti, * 233, 250, 251, 256</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Qutu, *</td>
<td>189</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rubuta, *</td>
<td>255, 256, 260</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rukhizi, *</td>
<td>112, 213</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shaddu, *</td>
<td>116, *</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shamkhuna</td>
<td>* 125</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shanira (omitted by W.; B. 27)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shankhar, *</td>
<td>33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shanku, *</td>
<td>159</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shaskhimi, *</td>
<td>85, *</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shaushbi (omitted by W.; B. 27)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shigata, *</td>
<td>162, 171, 172, 196, 203</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
## INDEX OF PLACES

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Place</th>
<th>Page Numbers</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Shikhlali</td>
<td>160</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shimigi</td>
<td>157</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shirdana</td>
<td>200, 201, 202*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shiri</td>
<td>234</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shuarbi</td>
<td>221, *</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shuna</td>
<td>* 257</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shuti</td>
<td>35, 115, 131, 138, 157, 200, 201, 202, 236, 263</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sigi</td>
<td>* 252</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Suarti (?)</td>
<td>157, *</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Subari</td>
<td>169</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Suri</td>
<td>* 175</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Takh</td>
<td>* 247</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Takhida</td>
<td>186</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tana (? Tashu)</td>
<td>204</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Taruna</td>
<td>45, *</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tisa</td>
<td>153</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>tiashna</td>
<td>50, *</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tsapuna</td>
<td>266, *</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tsarkha</td>
<td>* 265</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tsarqi</td>
<td>* 118</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tsiduna</td>
<td>144, 145, 147, 151, * 161, 177, 178, 179, 183, 184, 204, 222</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tsurri</td>
<td>177, 179, 184, * 185</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tu</td>
<td>* 253</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tubikhi</td>
<td>* 267</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tumurkha</td>
<td>* 260</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tunip</td>
<td>149, 150, 170, * 191</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tushultti</td>
<td>* 252</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ubi</td>
<td>* 112, 114</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Udumu</td>
<td>* 118</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ugarit</td>
<td>13, 63, 152, 171, 178, 185, *</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ullaza</td>
<td>155, * 162, 165, 188, 192</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ushtiru</td>
<td>* 252</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Usu</td>
<td>180, 183, * 184</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wurza</td>
<td>72, 74, *</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yabishi</td>
<td>* 118</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yabu</td>
<td>* 86</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yada</td>
<td>* 162</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yaluna, v. Ayaluna</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Place</td>
<td>Pages</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---------------</td>
<td>---------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yapu</td>
<td>101, 261, *</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yarimuta</td>
<td>142, 156, 161, 163, 166, 189, 202, 203, 204, 205, 206, *</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yibuliya</td>
<td>* 161</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yikhliya</td>
<td>* 162</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yinuamma</td>
<td>* 116</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zalukhi</td>
<td>152, *</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zikhra</td>
<td>30, *</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zilu</td>
<td>234, *</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zinzar</td>
<td>112, *</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ziribashani</td>
<td>69, *</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zukhli</td>
<td>40, *</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zukhluti</td>
<td>215, *</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Italian names are modern Arabic forms.

*Kh.*, often prefixed, is *Khurbet*, and shows an ancient ruin to exist at the place.

**Abitu** occurs in a group of names which must be considered together. The history in the letter 116 is that Biridashya stirs up Yanuh in the hinterland of Tyre, and then, being afraid of Namyawaza coming down from Kumidi by the Litâny, he boldly struck across to the Hauran, brought up forces of Bozrah, &c., and compelled N. to retreat from Kumidi (on the Beyrut and Damascus road) into Damascus, in order to save that from the rebels. Then Aziru's troops in Gish are brought up, either to the bend of the Litâny, or on the Damascus road, to Shaddu (not identified), to block Namyawaza from reaching the Tyrian region. Thus Gish was destroyed, and the territory of Abitu will be taken. This points to Abitu being S.W. of the line from Gish.
to Yanuh, and this agrees to *Kh. Abdeh*, 15 S. of Tyre, 16 W. of Gish.

**Aduri** occurs in another group, 118. The cities of the land of Gar are Udumu, Aduri, Araru, Mishtu, Magdali, and Khini-anabi. There is no general indication of known locality, but most of these names are found west of the lake of Tiberias. The land of Gar is named in “the going up to Gur which is by Ibleam,” and which led to Megiddo (2 Kings ix. 27); a phrase which belongs to a whole region, like “the entering in of Hamath.” This “going up” must be the valley of Jezreel, and the land of Gar therefore about Nazareth. Here are found Udumu (*Adamah* Heb., *Damieh*, 5 S.W. Tiberias), Aduri (*Et Tireh*, 14 W.S.W. Tiberias), Araru (*Ararah*, 8 S.W. Megiddo, the key to that city in the Tahutmes war), Mishtu (*Kh. Mushtah*, 14 W.N.W. Tiberias), Magdali (*Magdala, Mejdel*, 3 N.W. Tiberias), and Khinanabi (or 'Enanab, Winckler) may be Hananieh (*Kefr 'Anân*, 12 N.W. Tiberias). After this it is said Tsarqi, Khawani, and Yabishi were captured. Yabishi must be Yabesh Gilead (12 S.E. Bethshean), Khawani agrees to *Kh. Kâaân*,
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Nahr Sukat 3 miles S. of Gibleh. The name of Ambi is not seen now, but by being linked with Shigata it is probably the next port, Baldeh, 4 miles S. There are but a small number of ports along this coast, and they leave, therefore, but little uncertainty when an associated point is already known. Yikhliya is probably Olei'ät, 13 N.E. of Tripoli, and 5 N.E. of Ardata.

Amki has been connected with the 'Amk plain by Antioch, but without any proof in favour of this more than the many other plains or valleys generically called 'Amk (ghamyq, deep, dark coloured), such as 'Ammtk (19 S.E. Beyrut), 'Amûqa (10 N. Capernaum), 'Amqa (8 N.E. Akka), Nahr Ghamkeh (by Ruad), &c. The references to Amki are mainly about an attempt by Biridashya (?) of Khashabu and Ildaya of Khazi to seize cities there, and their repulse by Itakama, prince of Kinza, and the Khatti (107–9, 188); Akizzi of Qatna refers to Itakama as hostile in the land of Ubi; Damascus is in Ubi, and appeals for help as Qatna does. And Nukhashi, Ni, Zinzar, and Kinanat are faithful (112). The region of Biridashya was in north Galilee, as
noticed under Abitu, his activities lying west to Yinuama, and east to Ashtarti. Here there is not a single reference to any coast town; evidently the whole group is in the Orontes-Jordan valley. Damascus is the fixed point; Ubi (Egn. Aup?) must be the Damascus plain. In the region of Biridashya we must fix Khashabu as the Hôshaba valley of Banias, the capital being probably Banias. The other allied capital, Khazi, must be near; and a key of the country is Kh. 'Azziyeh (9 W.N.W. Banias), between the bend of the Litâny and the source of Jordan, one of the most important strategic points. The only great plain that these would command would be the Bukeiah up the Litâny, where 'Ammîk stands (19 S.E. Beyrut). Itakama held Kinza himself, and Gidshi (a Qedesh) had belonged to his father (cviii.). For him to repel this northerward movement he must have been to the north of 'Ammîk; his Qedesh then must be that of Lake Homs, and cannot be that by Lake Huleh. His Kinza is probably lost to sight in one of the many Kneseh (church) names in the Baalbek region. There are three places that might be Qatna, Tell Kateineh (by Lake Homs), Qatana
IDENTIFICATION OF PLACES

(14 W. Damascus), Kh. Kataneh (5 E.N.E. Safed). The first would be in Itakama's power, and too far from Damascus for Akizzi; the last would have no relation to Nukhashi, Ni, and Zinzar, with Itakama cutting communications at Qedesh. Hence the Qatana by Damascus must be the seat of Akizzi. Lapuna, which joined Itakama in attacking Qatna, is probably Halbûn (13 N.N.W. Damascus). This completes this group of associated names.

AMMIA. This country cut off Ubi (112), and was therefore somewhere west of it. Aziru seized Ammia, Ardata, and Ni, also Irqata and Tsumura (187–8, 195), and the men of Ammiya were stirred to slay their lord (203). The region thus indicated would be the upper part of the Orontes valley, and might thus be connected with the land of Hamath, or Hamah.

AMURRA is probably the middle and lower parts of the Orontes, on looking to all the connections; but it certainly covered a wide region.

ARARU, see ADURI.

ARDATA, known as Orthosia, Arthâsi (9 N.E. Tripoli).
ARSAPI, probably Reseph.

ARWADA known as Arvad, Aradus (Gr.), Ruad.

ASHTARTI joined with Bozrah and Golan; evidently Ashteroth (29 E. Tiberias).

ASQALUNA, known as Ashkelon.

ASSHUR, Assyria.

AYALUNA, known as Ajalon.

AZZATI, or Khazati, is probably Gaza, as it was with Yafa guarded by Yabitiri. Possibly, however, Ashdod might be considered.

BIDUNA is quite unknown.

BIKHISHI. Only in letter 118, which was written from some place in touch with Nazareth and Jordan region, but quite safe, probably Akka. Bikhishi should be near there and open to the Egyptians; possibly El Bahjeh, 2 N.E. of Akka, remembering the confusion of j and sh which exists.

BIRUNA, BIRUTA, is well known as Beyrut now.

BITARTI, unknown, probably between Tsumura and Gubla.
Bit Ninib. (1) Near Gubla (203), after Tsumura was lost; unknown. (2) Near Qilti (Keilah), toward Jerusalem (256); unknown.

Bit-tiri. Occupied by Khaiapa in retreat from Tsumura (?) ; probably Kh. et Tireh on foot of hills 7 S.E. of Akka.

Burqa. Perhaps Bene-beraq, 5 E. of Joppa, as the other names with it in 257 agree with this region.

Burzilim, near Gubla; unknown.

Buzruna, certainly Bozrah by the connection.

Danuna, certainly Danyaan Heb., Kh. Dāniān now, on the headland of Ras en Nakurah at the Tyrian Steps. It is named by Abimilki of Tyre, at a time when he was besieged; it is visible, as the headland to the south, from Tyre.

Dimashqa, also written Timashgi, is Tamesqu of the Egyptians, Dimishq, Damascus.

Dubu, quite unknown, may read Gubbu.

Gadashuna has been connected with Kitsuna of the Upper Ruten list of Ta-
hutmes III. This latter is Tell Keisan, 6 S.E. of Akka, as fixed by connection with other names.

GAGAYA is connected to Khanigalbat (far N. Syria) and Ugarit (Orontes mouth).

GAR, see ADURI.

GAZRI, Gezer, 20 S.E. of Joppa.

GIMTI is probably distinct from Ginti-kirmil; in 233 Ginti is destroyed, in 256 Gimti is part of the enemies' forces; Ginti, moreover, is qualified by Kirmil when it occurs. Gimti is joined with Gezer and Keilah in attacks on the land of Jerusalem, and is probably in the same region as those places. This answers well to Gimzo, Heb. Jimzu, 16 S.E. of Joppa, nearly half-way to Jerusalem.

GINA, in south Palestine, probably Janiah, 7 W. of Bethel.

GINTI-KIRMIL. As this name merely means "the gardens of the vineyard," like the "gardens," Nos. 44, 63, 70, and 93 in Tahutmes III. list (History ii.), it cannot be certainly identified. From the geography it cannot have anything to do with Mount Carmel, as has been absurdly supposed. Its
place was somewhere in the approaches to Jerusalem, and west of a "land of Shiri" (234). Some presumption may exist for seeing in this Ginti, En Gannim Heb., "the gardens," now Kh. Umm Jina (16 W. Jerusalem); the kirmil, "vineyard," is certainly a pre-Hebrew form, as it appears as a loan in Egyptian, Qirama, a vineyard, and the form with terminal l appears in S. Palestine as Carmel, Kurmul (7 S. Hebron). That Gannim should have the adjective kirmil is most probable, as it is in the vale of Sorek, "of the choice vine." The connection with the land of Shiri, which would lie on the Jerusalem side of it, points to Shaaraim, Kh. es Saireh, 4 E. of it. Letter 234 then would say from Jerusalem that the hills of Shaaraim (12 W. of Jerusalem) were lost as far as En Gannim in the valley of the vine, 4 miles further out.

Giti-rimuna occurs in .257 with places near Joppa, agreeing with Gath-rimmon of Jos. xix. 45.

Gizza was ravaged by Itakama, who came down the Litany valley (see Amki), and was near Abdeh, 15 S. Tyre (see Abitu); it was a fortress occupied by Aziru's troops. All
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noted. Yerimoth, Heb., is impossible, as it was inland. But it is obvious that Yerimoth, Arimathea, Ramoth, Ramah, are all the same name, "the high place," and we must expect to find many such place-names. There is no name like this along the coast near the southern Jebail; yet it is certain, from the continual sale of timber and slaves from Gubla, that Yarimuta must have been an important port near Gubla. But on turning to the northern Jibleh we see that the next port to that is Laodicea, formerly Ramitha. Here then is a Ramoth or Yerimoth exactly in the required relation to Jibleh. Such a series of names on the coast as Ramitha, Saukat, and El Usy, for Yarimuta, Shigata, and Ullaza, all near the northern Jibleh or Jebeleh, stamp that as the true Gubla. There are constructions and antiquities, and the harbour has several piers of huge stones, some 11 feet in length (Baedecker), so the conditions for a former city and port are quite fulfilled.

Igaid is unknown. It occurs in the travels of the Mohar in the order Kheta (Hittites), Aupa (Ubi, plain of Damascus), Khaduma (="a fortress"?), Ygatiy, Za . . . (Tyre?)
of king Sesisu (Sesostris = Ramessu II.), Khal . . . or Khar . . ., Qedesh (by Lake Merom), Tubakhi (Kh. et Tubukah, 14 E. Nakura), the Shasu (or Bedawin), Pamagar (Magoras, = Nahr Beyrut?). This points to its being in the north between Damascus and Tyre of Ramessu II. The mountains of Igaid are therefore Lebanon or Anti-Lebanon; and on the pass over the Lebanon from Damascus to Beyrut is Ain Yakut, which may preserve the name.

Irqata, well known as Arkas (Tell Arqa), 14 E.N.E. Tripoli.

Karduniyash, the official name of the Babylonian kingdom.

Kash is usually supposed to be the same as the land of the Kassi or Babylonians. But in letters 162 and 172 it is linked with Mitani as urging on Aziri. In 193 and 214 men of Kashi are asked for to defend Gubla. In 234 Naharain and Kash are both together within reach of Egyptian ships. In 254 the Kashi fight near Jerusalem. The Kashi were then on the Mediterranean coast near Mitani, and were auxiliary troops of Egyptians. Babylonia is quite impossible, and we are led to the Ugarit region on Orontes as
most likely. There Mount Kasios looks down on the Orontes mouth, and seems to retain the name of Kash.

Khabiri. This name, which merely means "confederates," has been conjectured to refer to the Hebrews. But we find them first invading Ubi (Damascus) and Ashteroth, and in alliance with Abdashirta. This points to their coming in about the middle of Syria, and not from the south, which seems to have been the Hebrew way. It seems quite possible that Hebron was named after them.

Khalunni is connected with Bozrah, in the region of Golan; and though Khalunni would normally remain as Holan, yet as there is some variation in what seem to be forms of this name, Golan for the city and region, and 'Allân for the river traversing it, Khalunni may well represent the original name, which has been modified to Golan and 'Allan by later peoples.

Kharabu, named with places near Joppa, may be El Khurab (11 E. Joppa); but perhaps it is only a generic name for a ruin.
KHANIGALBAT is supposed to be the same region as Mitani, which is in Naharaina, or northern Mesopotamia. It was certainly the land of Dushratta's residence. (See letter 11.)

KHASHABU (see Amki) is the river Ḥôshaba, the valley of Banias, and very possibly an earlier name of that town.

KHATAT, a district which seems to be not far from Tsumura, yet it is named by people of Tunip. Possibly it is the Lebanon region, connected with the district El Hadith near the cedars.

KHATTI are certainly the Khita of the Egyptians, or Hittites. They began to act far in the north, and gradually pushed southwards.

KHAWINI is Kh. Kââtin, 6 S. Bethshean. (See Aduri.)

KHAZATI is Gaza.

KHAZI is Kh. el 'Azziyeh (20 E. Tyre). (See Amki.)

KHAZURA occurs in 70, 71, but only has any position shown in 183, where news of its defection is given from Tyre, with news of Uzu (Hosah) and Zidon. It was thus
near Tyre, and doubtless Hazor, 11 S.E. of Tyre.

Khikubta is named as being obviously the king's, and is probably Ha-ka-ptah = Memphis.

Khinatuna was in the land of Kinakhi, and messengers were on the way there from Babylonia to Egypt, and were attacked from Samkhuna (Merom) and Akka. It was therefore east of the Jordan opposite Merom (124). This agrees to Kenath, Heb., Kanatha, now Kanawat.

Khinanabi, perhaps Hananieh, Kefr 'Anân (12 N.W. Tiberias). (See Aduri.)

Kinanat is agreed to be the name Kanaan. From Qatna by Damascus Akizzi reports that Nukhashi, Ni, Zinzar, and Kinanat are faithful. The "lowland" indicated by this Kinanat is probably, therefore, the head plain of the Orontes, which leads down to near Shinshâr, Zinzar.

Kinakhi included the Hauran, as Kanatha was in it (124), and it sent to Mesopotamia to revolt (20). But it seems to have extended right across Syria, as Tyre refers to an officer in Kinakhi (183), and answers about Kinakhi by reporting about Danuna.
It must, therefore, be a belt across Galilee to the Hauran.

**Kinza**, probably in the Baalbek region now lost in one of the Kneseh (church) names. (See Amki.) It certainly is not Gizza, *Gish* (as has been stated), for the prince of Kinza took Gish (116).

**Kuatsbat** was probably in the south, and seems to be Chozeba, Heb. *Kh Kueiziba*, 3 N.E. of Halhul.

**Kumidi** sent news of Bashan, Damascus, and Galilee (116); but was hopeless after the fall of Tsumura (199). This points to somewhere between Damascus and Tsumura, and agrees to *Kamid el Lauz*, 29 S.E. Beyrut, 31 W.N.W. Damascus, an excellent position to command the upper Litâny basin, and on the old Beyrut-Damascus road of Tahutmes III.

**Lakish** was in the south, and is doubtless Lachish.

**Lapana** was attacking Qatna near Damascus; probably Helbon, 13 N.W. Damascus.

**Lukki** are a people who professed alliance with Cyprus, but attacked and plundered there, and were repudiated by the Alashiyans.
This leaves no doubt that they are the Luka or Lykians who appear as sea-rovers in the next two dynasties.

Magdali, in 118, is probably the Migdol ("tower") of Magdala (3 N.W. Tiberias). (See Aduri.) In 262 it is a tower in the south near Kuatsbat.

Magidda is certainly Megiddo; and by the campaign of Tahutmes III. it is clear that this is at Tell el Mutasellim, and not at Kh. el Mujedda, though the latter was very likely a colony of Megiddo, as Umm Lakis was a colony of Lachish (Tell el Hesy).

Makhzi . . . ti, not identified; see Ushtiru: perhaps the same as Mikhiza.

Mankhatishum was in the south, not far from Gezer (260). The Wady Menakh (7 S. Gezer) seems to preserve the name.

Mikhiza was the city of Arzawaya (75), evidently another reading of Rukhizi (112). This is probably on the south side of Hermon, as Arzawiya fought in Upper Galilee (116), attacked Namyawaza of Kumidi when he went into Damascus (116), and was leagued with Itakama and Helbon against Katana.
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up. Niy is linked with Senzaru, Zinzar of these letters, Shinshar, 10 m. S. Homs (Hist. 123). Elephants were hunted in Niy (Hist. 124); and this shows that there must have been fertile plains. It is linked with Ammiya and Ardata (letter 187), and so might be possibly Niha, 15 S.W. of Baalbek: on the other hand it is referred to by the men of Tunip (letter 170), which points rather to Kefr Naya, 8 W.N.W. of Aleppo, and this would better fit the week's march of Amenhotep II. to Ugarit.

NINA, city of Ishtar, is Nineveh.

NUKHASHI. This is the name of an important country of N. Syria. It has been strangely confused with Anaugasa of Tahutmes III. annals. (Hist. 110, 117, 120.) Anaugasa is connected with Zahi (Phœnicia), and in its first mention is placed among three country palaces of the king of Megiddo, Yenuamu, Anaugasa, and Harnekaru. These should be found near together, and somewhere within the territories of Megiddo. Yenuamu appears to be Yanuh (7 E. Tyre), Yanu of the Amu or Syrians, for the latter part of the name is spelled in some cases exactly as the Amu. Harnekaru seems
almost certainly the Har or hill of Nekaru, now *Nakura*, the great headland of the Scala Tyriorum (12 m. S. Tyre), Nakura and Yanuh and by streams, and Anaugasa between them would probably be on the intermediate stream of the Wady 'Ezziyeh. It is just possible that Anaugasa has been corrupted into Medinet en Nahas (city of brass) on that stream. Anyhow this dependency, or country palace, of the king of Megiddo can have nothing to do with the far northern kingdom of Nukhashi. Nukhashi appears to have been between the Orontes and Euphrates, from the various mentions of it.

*Qanu*, from the prince of which a letter remains (44), might be “the water of Qina,” by Megiddo of the Tahutmes campaign (*Hist.* ii. 107), or *Qana*, 8 S.E. of Tyre, or Cana—*Qanet el Jelil*—13 W. Tiberias. There is nothing to prove the position.

*Qatna* we have seen to be Qatana, 14 W.S.W. of Damascus. (See *AmkI*.)

*Qideshu* was the patrimony of Itakama (114), and probably Qedesh by Lake Homs.
Qidsha, of 147, seems to be probably the same Qedesh.

**QILTI** is usually recognized as *Keilah*, 8 N.W. of Hebron.

**QUTU** was near Ardata and Irqata, but is now unknown.

**RUBUTA** is a Rabbath or capital, and was near Gezer (260) and not far from Gimzu and Keilah (256). This points to *Kh. Rubba* (4 N.N.W. Keilah), supposed to be Rabbah of *Josh. xv. 60*.

**RUKHIZI,** see **MIKHIZA**.

**SHADDU** was near Gizza, *Gish*, and Abitu, *Abdeh*; perhaps *Shatiyeh*, 6 S.E. of Tyre.

**SHAMKUNA** was the city of Shumaddu, who leagued with Akku to attack Kanawat in the Hauran. Between these two places is Lake Merom, known as Semekhonitis in Greek times, with the *'Ain es Semakh*, 6 N.E. of the lake.

**SHANKHAR** was allied with the Khati, in relation to Alashiya. Probably the Egyptian Senzar or Sangar. Whether it be the same as **ZINZAR**, **Shinshar** (11 S. Homs), or be **Sejar** (13 N.W. Homs), is not clear.
Shanku, near Irqata; apparently Shagga on the coast 10 S.W. of Tripoli.

Shaskhimi, city of Abdimilki, not identified.

Shigata is Tell Saukat or Sukat, 3 m. S. of Jebeleh, see Guba.

Shikhlali would be found now most likely as Sihlali, and this might well be a sahel or plain on the coast near Tsumura, with which it is mentioned.

Shirdana are named as Egyptian auxiliaries, and are doubtless the Shardana, foreign auxiliaries so well known in the XIXth Dynasty.

Shiri, Shaaraim, Heb. Kh. es Saireh (12 W. Jerusalem), see Ginti Kirmil.

Shuarbi would be very important to identify, as (216) it was "the gate to Gubla," but it cannot be found on maps either by Jebail or Jebeleh.

Shuna[ma?], perhaps Selmeh (3 E. Joppa), as it was in that neighbourhood.

Suarti? or Bitarti unidentified, probably between Tsumura and Gubla.

Subari, a land perhaps between Mitani and the Hauran. Perhaps Seburra, 37 E. Laodicea (Baedecker).
SURI, a district not far from Tsumura; possibly the region *Esh Shara*, 15 E. of Tsumura.

SUTI, the Sati of the Egyptians, Bedawin of S.E. Syria.

TAKH . . . . not far from Megiddo, very possibly the same as

TAKHIDA, to which a messenger from Tsumura to Egypt reached, but could not get through; therefore on the Egyptian road. The name is not now found.

TANA or TASHU not identified. Possibly Denniyeh, district east of Tripolis.

TARUNA has no localization. Possibly Toran, 10 W. Tiberias.

TIMASHGI, see DIMASHQA, is undoubtedly Tamesqu of Egyptians. *Dimeshkh*, Damascus.

TSAPUNA was in the south, as Ayalon and Zorah are mentioned by the ruler. The name corresponds to Siffin (9 E. of Ludd) of Baedeker, but the Exploration Society's map gives the name as *Shebtin*.

TSARKHA, named with Ayalon from Tsapuna, is doubtless Tsorah, Heb., now Tsur'ah, 6 S. Ayalon, 15 E. of Jerusalem,
Tsarkhi, probably a zerga or dark place, in the Jordan valley, see Aduri.

Tsiduna is certainly Zidon.

Tsumur is certainly Simyra, now Sumra, as all the indications agree. The refusal to agree to this, because the Assyrian spelling, six centuries later, was Zimarra, is altogether hypercritical, when we see the free variations in rendering many important names in both cuneiform and Egyptian.

Tsurri is certainly Tsur, Tyre.

Tubikhi has no indication of locality, but agrees in name with Debkhu of the list of Tahutmes III. (No. 6 Upper Ruten) which is either Et Tabghah on N.W. of Sea of Galilee, or Jebel Tubakat, 7 N.W. of that.

Tumurkha was in the south, being named with Gezer and Rabbah and Mankhatishum (Wady Menakh). It agrees thus well to Tumrah (7 N.E. of Gaza); kh usually softening to h.

Tunip is generally agreed with good reason to be Tennib (18 N. of Aleppo). It appears to have had the importance which Aleppo has possessed in later times; this may well be, as it was on the road from the
plain of Antioch to the ruling kingdom of Mitani, while Aleppo was on the line to the later centre of rule, Babylon, lower down the Euphrates.

Tushulti attacked Khazi, *Kh. el’Azziyeh* (20 E. Tyre). This makes it almost impossible to be Tasuret, of Tahutmes Upper Ruten list No. 56, as that belongs to the region of Shechem, and is almost certainly Teiasir, 11 N.E. of Shechem. No name corresponds with this near el ’Azziyeh; but there is a bare possibility that an inversion might have occurred in the cuneiform, or in subsequent history, and that *Talluseh*, 5 S.W. of el ’Azziyeh, might be the site.

**UBI**, a district in which was Damascus, evidently the plain of Damascus.

**Udumu**, Adameh, Heb. *Damieh*, 5 S.W. of Tiberias, see Aduri.

**Ugarit**, a district in the north of Syria, on the coast (letter 152; news obtained by sea, letter 178), and far north, at the limits of Egyptian claims (letter 171). The only valuable piece of country on the coast north of Gubla is the mouth of the Orontes, which must have been of importance, and is not
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Yabishi is Yabesh Gilead, 12 S.E. Bethshean; see Aduri.

Yada comes after Ambi, Shigata, and Ullaza, but no modern name thereabouts resembles it.

Yapu is certainly Yafa or Joppa.

Yarimuta cannot be the Biblical Yerimoth, as that was inland; but Yerimoth, Arimathea, Ramoth, and Ramah, are all terms for a high place, and may occur anywhere. The best indication is that it was an important port of commerce, and close to Gubla. The nearest port to Gabula is Laodicea, and as this was a Ramoth, being known as Ramitha, it is pretty certainly Yarimuta; see Gubla.

Yibuliya must be between Gubla, where Ribadda was, and Tsumura, to which his man was going when captured (letter 161): no such name is in the map.

Yikhliya is linked with Ullaza, Ardata, Y., Ambi, and Shigata; it is probably Qleiat, 5 N.E. of Ardata.

Yinuamma is the Egyptian Yenuamu, or Yanoah, Heb. Yanuh, 7 E. Tyre, belonging to the Amu or Syrians.
Zalukhi was a coast land near Ugarit, not identified.

Zikhra a city of Alashiya or Cyprus, exposed to Lycian incursions.

Zilu was in the south, named next after Ginti (En Gannim); it may well be Zelah, Heb., N. of Jerusalem, but not identified.

Zinzar is named between Ni and Kinanat, and can hardly be other than Shinshar, S. of Homs.

Ziribashani is the plain of Bashan.

Zukhli is named as a city of Egypt, where a messenger would find the king. This was probably a capital city, and in lower Egypt. It can hardly be other than Memphis, the city of Ptah Sokar, now Saqqara. The constant mutation of l and r in Egyptian prevent any difficulty in this identification.
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